1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA (Asheville)
3	No. 1:20-cv-00066-WGY
4	
5	CARYN DEVINS STRICKLAND, formerly known as Jane Roe,
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA (Asheville)  No. 1:20-cv-00066-WGY  ARYN DEVINS STRICKLAND, formerly known as Jane Roe, Plaintiff  s.
7	VS.
8	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al,
10	Defendants
11	
12	****
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	District of Massachusetts (Boston)
18	Boston, Massachusetts 02210
19	.  ITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al, Defendants  ********  For Bench Trial via Courtroom Zoom Before: Judge William G. Young  United States District Court District of Massachusetts (Boston) One Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Monday, December 18, 2023  ********  REPORTER: RICHARD H. ROMANOW, RPR Official Court Reporter United States District Court One Courthouse Way, Room 5510, Boston, MA 02210
20	
21	****
22	
23	United States District Court
24	
25	For Bench Trial via Courtroom Zoom Before: Judge William G. Young  United States District Court District of Massachusetts (Boston) One Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Monday, December 18, 2023  REPORTER: RICHARD H. ROMANOW, RPR Official Court Reporter United States District Court One Courthouse Way, Room 5510, Boston, MA 02210 rhrbulldog@aol.com

```
APPEARANCES
1
 2
 3
    CARYN STRICKLAND, ESQ.
    COOPER J. STRICKLAND, ESQ.
 4
       P.O. Box 92
       Lynn, NC 28750
 5
       (802) 318-0926
       Email: Caryn.devins@hotmail.com
 6
       Pro Se Plaintiff
 7
8
    JOSHUA MICHAEL KOLSKY, ESQ.
    DANIELLE YOUNG, ESQ.
9
    MADELINE McMAHON, ESQ.
       U.S. Department of Justice
10
       1100 L Street NW
       Washington, DC 20005
11
       (202) 305-7664
       Email: Joshua.kolsky@usdoj.gov
12
       For Defendants
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                       I N D E X
2
3
   WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4
5
6
   ANTHONY MARTINEZ (Continued.)
     By Ms. Young:
                                        38
     By Ms. Strickland:
                              5
                                                41
8
9
10
   JOHN PARKE DAVIS
     By Ms. McMahon: 43
11
     By Ms. Strickland: 84
12
13
14
   WILLIAM MOORMANN
15
     By Ms. Young: 119
     By Ms. Strickland: 145
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	EXHIBITS		
	EXHIBITS		
2			
3	EXHIBIT 174	23	
4	EXHIBIT 175	33	
5	EXHIBIT 176	46	
6	EXHIBIT 177	5 9	
7	EXHIBIT 178	82	
8	EXHIBIT 179	125	
9	EXHIBIT 180	130	
10	EXHIBIT 181	131	
11	EXHIBIT 182	132	
12	EXHIBIT 183	133	
13	EXHIBIT 184	137	
14	EXHIBIT 185	144	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
PROCEEDINGS
1
           (Begins, 9:30 a.m.)
 2
 3
           THE COURT: Good morning. I apologize for the
     delay, it was my responsibility. We're now ready to go.
 4
 5
     And I'll ask Ms. Gaudet, the Clerk here, to remind
     Mr. Martinez that he's still under oath.
 6
 7
           THE CLERK: I'd like to remind you, sir, that
8
     you're still under oath.
           Do you understand?
9
           THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
10
11
           THE COURT: And, Ms. Strickland, do you wish to
     inquire further?
12
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
13
14
           THE COURT: You may.
15
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STRICKLAND: (Continued.)
16
17
           Mr. Martinez, you testified earlier that you read
     Q.
     Judge Cogburn's testimony about this office, is that
18
19
     correct?
20
        Yes, ma'am.
           And you testified that you do not recall whether
21
     he testified that this office was "a mess in need of a
22
23
     change," is that correct?
           That's correct.
24
     Α.
25
           Okay. And you testified that you do not recall
```

whether he stated that the supervisors in the office
were unqualified, is that correct?

A. There were a lot of details. I do not recall.

That was 7 years ago? 8 years ago?

Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review that

A. If you want to show me the testimony.

testimony?

MS. YOUNG: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't really see the relevance, Ms. Strickland, this is before -- at least if I've got the time correctly, this is before Mr. Martinez became the Federal Defender.

MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor. It goes to the qualifications of the supervisors who he tapped when he came on as Federal Defender.

THE COURT: Well what Judge Cogburn said, and I -- and no disrespect to him, he's not testifying here, so it's hearsay what he may have thought, but I suppose you are entitled to inquire and I've allowed it as to what, um, Mr. Martinez knew and how he deployed his resources. But that's the only relevance here, what Mr. Martinez believed.

Mr. Martinez, thinking over the weekend, sir, can you tell me -- a couple questions occur to me.

Case 1:20-cv-00066-WGY Document 402 Filed 03/11/24 Page 6 of 157

When did you retire, sir?

```
THE WITNESS: March 25th of 2022.
1
 2
           THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
           And do you mind giving me the, um, demographic
     breakdown of your office in terms of attorneys, male and
 4
 5
     female, at the time you retired?
           THE WITNESS: I -- I have it in my declaration,
 6
 7
     the exact details. I -- I don't recall the exact
8
     details. I know that in the last, I believe, 11 hires,
9
     I hired, um, 10 were female, and it was a very diverse
10
     group of staff members. I don't have the breakdown, the
11
     specific breakdown, your Honor, I'm sorry, off the top
12
     of my head.
13
           THE COURT: Understood.
14
           And what was the demographic breakdown when you
15
     became the Public Defender?
           THE WITNESS: What -- the demographic in terms of
16
17
           THE COURT: Male and female. I'm sorry.
18
19
           THE WITNESS: Oh, male and female. Yeah, um, I'm
20
     sure the majority of the employees were female.
21
     would say it was anywhere from 60 to 65 percent of the
     staff, of the entire staff was female.
22
23
           THE COURT:
                        Thank you.
24
           Ms. Strickland, I interrupted. You go right
25
     ahead.
```

```
MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
1
           And just to clarify, if you're going to exclude us
 2
 3
     from refreshing recollection about what Mr. Martinez
     knew at the time he became the Federal Defender, we
 4
 5
     would like for the --
           THE COURT: Actually I'm not -- I'm not going to,
 6
 7
     what he knew.
8
           MS. STRICKLAND: Oh, okay, I apologize. I
     misunderstood. I won't belabor the point.
 9
10
           THE COURT: All right.
11
           MS. STRICKLAND: But would you then go on to Page
12
     33, please.
           THE COURT: Only I take issue with your saying
13
14
     what he knew. I am interested in what he thought about
15
     his supervisors, he himself, Mr. Martinez.
           Go ahead.
16
           THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, were you asking me a
17
18
     question, Judge?
19
           THE COURT: I was not, I was explaining myself to
20
     Ms. Strickland.
21
           THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
           Would you please read to yourself the last
22
     Q.
23
     paragraph where it says "Judge Cogburn," it starts on
     Page 33 and it actually goes through to Page 34.
24
25
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yeah, there, that's perfect.
```

```
(On screen.)
1
            (Reads.) I read it.
 2
     Α.
     Q.
            Thank you.
            Does this refresh your recollection about whether
 4
 5
     you knew that Judge Cogburn had testified that the First
     Assistant could not be a panel attorney because he's
 6
 7
     only second-chaired one criminal case?
8
           MS. YOUNG: Objection, relevance.
                        The objection is overruled.
 9
            THE COURT:
10
     that's not substantive evidence. But I wonder if he
11
     knew of that testimony.
12
            Yes, I did know of his testimony. I'm sorry.
13
           No, just go ahead. I apologize.
14
           Several years before I became a Defender is my
     Α.
15
     point.
16
     Ο.
           Did you become the Defender in August of 2017?
17
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
18
     Q.
           Thank you.
19
           And just to be clear, this testimony is dated in
20
     2016, is that right?
           I don't --
21
     Α.
           We'll come back to that in a second.
22
     Q.
23
            Does this refresh your recollection about whether
     Judge Cogburn stated, "The office is a mess, we need a
24
25
     change"?
```

```
It does.
     Α.
1
            Thank you.
 2
     Q.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Go ahead and scroll back up to
 3
     Page 1.
 4
 5
            (On screen.)
            Is his testimony dated in 2016?
 6
     Q.
     Α.
           Yes.
8
     Q.
        Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Admitted Exhibit 7,
 9
10
     please.
11
           (On screen.)
12
           Are you familiar with this document?
     Q.
13
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
14
            You testified earlier that this was a letter of
     Q.
15
     counseling that James Ishida delivered to you, is that
16
     right?
17
            That's correct.
     Α.
           And this letter is dated May 28th, 2019, is that
18
     Q.
19
     correct?
            That's correct.
20
     Α.
21
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Page 2 of this
     letter. Scroll down to where it says "Marriage
22
     Metaphor."
23
24
            (On screen.)
25
           Under the section entitled "Marriage Metaphor," in
     Q.
```

the second paragraph, this letter states that you had used an "ill-advised metaphor comparing the relationship between Ms. Strickland and Mr. Davis as a 'marriage' with 'the parties needing to compromise and meet in the middle'."

Do you deny this finding?

A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. So you did not state -- you did not compare the
  relationship between myself and Mr. Davis as a "marriage
  with the parties needing to compromise and meet in the
  middle"?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you aware that the defendants admitted that
  you used a marriage metaphor in their answer during this
  litigation?
  - A. Well I want to clarify -- I made a statement to you indicating that "All relationships are like a marriage, they require compromise and communication."

    That was my statement.
  - Q. So you did compare the relationship between myself and Mr. Davis to a marriage?
- A. I compared all relationships to a marriage, not just you and Mr. Davis.
- Q. But to be clear, the discussion that we were having was about my relationship with Mr. Davis, is that

```
1
     correct?
           To be clear, we were having a discussion about a
 2
     breakdown of communication which happens in all
     relationships, such as marriages.
 4
 5
           And the breakdown in communication in that
     particular conversation was between myself and
 6
 7
     Mr. Davis, is that right?
8
     Α.
            That's correct.
           Okay, thank you.
9
10
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Section B, which is
11
     entitled "No Physical Touching."
12
            (On screen.)
13
           This paragraph states that "Investigator Beam
     Q.
14
     found that you had said 'at least you weren't touched,'
15
     or words to that effect." Do you deny this finding?
16
            I deny saying that, yes.
17
           Did you state, during this conversation, "Okay,
     but there was no physical contact"?
18
19
           Okay, "There was no physical contact," um, where
20
     are you finding that, ma'am.
21
     Q.
            I'm just asking you if that's what you said?
        Correct.
22
     Α.
23
           That is what you said?
     Q.
24
     Α.
           Correct.
25
           Okay.
     Q.
```

1 MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Page 3 of the letter, a section entitled "Disapproval of Seeking 2 Outside Advice." (On screen.) 4 This paragraph states, "The investigator found 5 Q. that you had called out Ms. Strickland for seeking legal 6 advice from the Fair Employment Opportunity Office." 8 Do you deny this finding? I agree that I disapproved of you seeking advice 9 Α. 10 to the AO because in my opinion the AO was not going to 11 be able to resolve something that was occurring within a 12 Defender office. 13 And you testified earlier that you were frustrated 14 that I went to the AO, is that fair? 15 I was frustrated because again I knew that there was nothing that the AO could do and I just felt that it 16 17 was better for you to speak to me than to speak to the AO. 18 19 Do you believe that there is something wrong with 20 a judiciary employee seeking guidance about their legal rights from the Administrative Office? 21 MS. YOUNG: Objection, calls for speculation. 22 23 THE COURT: No, it really doesn't. Overruled. 24 Again, there is nothing wrong with a judicial

employee seeking advice from the AO, but when it comes

```
to issues that occur between employees and a Defender Office, there is absolutely nothing that an AO -- a member of an AO or an official from the AO is going to be able to do to resolve that issue within a Defender office.
```

- Q. So your testimony, just so I'm clear, is that while you say there's nothing wrong with an employee going to the AO, it was wrong for me to go to the AO, is that right?
- A. I never said --

MS. YOUNG: Objection, misstates prior testimony.

THE COURT: Well it's cross-examination, she's framing the question.

Did you think it was wrong for her to go to the AO?

THE WITNESS: There was nothing wrong with you going to the AO, or any judicial employee or federal employee to the AO.

- Q. But to be clear, you were frustrated?
- A. I was frustrated because there's nothing that the AO can do to resolve an issue, an employee issue within a Defender office.
- Q. Is it your understanding that your reaction of being frustrated that I went to the AO is part of the reason why you were counseled?

```
It is. Yes, it is part. Yes.
 1
     Α.
 2
     Q.
           Okay, thank you.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Section D,
     "Shifting Responsibilities."
 4
 5
           (On screen.)
           This paragraph states, "Finally the investigator
 6
     noted that you had said you were being blamed for
8
     matters that you had nothing to do with."
 9
           Do you deny this finding?
10
           (Pause.) I felt -- I did feel like I was being
11
     blamed. I did feel like I was being blamed. Yes.
12
           Thank you.
     Q.
13
           Mr. Martinez, I'd like to return to your testimony
14
     about the August 9th, 2018 meeting. I just want to
15
     clarify, um, did you testify earlier that you were
     shocked by the August 10th, 2018 e-mail I sent you after
16
17
     that meeting?
18
           I was shocked because that was the very first time
19
     that you alleged that Mr. Davis was sexually harassing
20
     you.
21
     Q. Was part of the reason why you were shocked was
     that you did not believe we had come to an agreement on
22
     these issues?
23
```

time that you indicated that Mr. Davis was sexually

No, I was shocked because this was the very first

24

```
harassing you.
1
           Did you believe that we had come to an agreement
 2
 3
     based on the August 9th, 2018 meeting?
            I thought we had come to an agreement because I
 4
 5
     was meeting basically almost all of your demands, yes.
           Okay, thank you.
 6
     Q.
 7
           And you testified earlier that you responded to my
     e-mail on August 10th, 2018, is that right?
8
9
           Can you clarify? There was an e-mail you sent on
10
     August 10th --
11
     Q.
           Yes.
12
           -- and I did respond to that e-mail, yes, ma'am.
     Α.
13
           MS. STRICKLAND: Can you put up Admitted Exhibit
14
     71.
15
           (On screen.)
16
           Do you recognize this document?
     Q.
17
     Α.
           Yes.
            Is this the e-mail that you were testifying
18
     Q.
19
     earlier that you sent me in response?
20
     Α.
           Can you scroll down?
21
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yeah, go ahead and scroll down.
           (Scrolls.)
22
23
            (Looks.) Yes.
     Α.
24
            And when I objected that this e-mail was not
25
     authentic, did you continue to testify that you
```

```
responded to my e-mail on August 10th, 2018?
1
 2
           THE COURT: Well, I -- look, we've been over this,
 3
     I know what his testimony was, it was the testimony in
     this trial. So ask another question.
 4
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor, I -- may I be
     heard?
 6
 7
           THE COURT: You may.
8
           MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
           He stated in his sworn declaration that he
9
10
     responded to me on August 17th. So I just wanted to
11
     clarify that in fact this e-mail is not the e-mail that
12
     he sent.
13
           THE COURT: Well then ask him that question.
14
           MS. STRICKLAND:
                             Okay.
15
           Mr. Martinez, did you submit a sworn declaration
     Q.
16
     in this proceeding?
17
     Α.
           Yes.
           THE COURT: No, that's not the question -- that's
18
19
     not the question I said you could ask him, but that
     stands. That stands. We've been over this.
20
21
           Go ahead.
           MS. STRICKLAND: I apologize, your Honor. Okay,
22
23
     thank you, your Honor, I'll move on.
           Mr. Martinez, is it your understanding that I was
24
25
     hired at a Grade 14, Step 1?
```

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Thank you.
- And is it your understanding that I began work in
- 4 August of 2017?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And I was hired as a Research and Writing attorney
- 7 as we discussed, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are Research and Writing attorneys paid on the GS
- 10 pay scale set by Congress for federal employees?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. Did I understand your testimony earlier to be that
- when you reclassified my position, you had to use the
- 14 Red-Circle Rule to avoid decreasing my pay?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And is it your testimony today that you
- compensated me at the highest possible rate?
- 18 A. Yes, that I could possibly give you. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is it your testimony that it was not within your
- 20 discretion to pay me a higher amount than I was making
- 21 on the GS pay scale?
- 22 A. No, no, I was talking about the AD scale.
- 23 Q. Was it within your discretion to pay me a higher
- 24 amount than I was making on the GS pay scale?
- 25 A. I have no idea. I have -- I was focused on the

```
version of you being an R&W to an AFPD. You wound up
1
     with a salary that was equivalent to what you were
 2
     making as an R&W and there was -- what I was saying was
     I maxed you out into what I was able to and had
 4
 5
     authority to give you. I could not give you more money.
 6
           Did you have any awareness of whether I was
     eligible for a promotion as a Research and Writing
8
     attorney?
9
           I have no knowledge of that, ma'am.
10
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's turn to Admitted
11
     Exhibit 188, please. (Turns.) Okay, scroll down to
12
     Bates Number 4971, it's toward the end. (Scrolls.)
13
     There you go, that's perfect. Actually go up one page
14
     because -- go up to the top. One more page so he can
15
     see what it is. (Scrolls.) Perfect. There you go.
16
           Are you familiar with this document?
     Q..
17
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
           Is this the job description from the DOCS manual
18
     for a Research and Writing Specialist?
19
20
     Α.
           Yes, ma'am.
21
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's turn this down.
22
     Sure.
23
            (On screen.)
24
           Is this a chart labeled "Salary and Experience
25
     Scale"?
```

```
Yes, ma'am.
 1
     Α.
            Does the chart state that a Grade 14 requires 9
 2
 3
     years of total experience?
           Yes, ma'am.
 4
     Α.
           Does the chart state that a Grade 15 requires 10
 5
     0.
 6
     years of total experience?
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
8
            Does this chart indicate that an employee at Grade
 9
     14 would need one more year of experience to qualify for
10
     Grade 15?
11
           I don't understand your question, ma'am?
     Α.
12
           Is 10 years one year more than 9 years?
13
            It is what it is. It's written in black and
     Α.
14
     White, ma'am.
15
           Okay, thank you.
     Q.
16
            Is it your testimony today that at the time of the
17
     transition in August of 2018, you normally would have
     set my salary between $66,254 and $101,036?
18
19
           Yes, that was based on your level of experience.
     Α.
20
     Q.
           Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let go to Admitted Exhibit 5,
21
     please. It's going to be Bates Number 1311, so it's in
22
23
     the exhibits. So scroll down. (Scrolls.) There you
24
     go, that's it.
25
            (On screen.)
```

- Q. Are you familiar with this document? 1 2 Α. Yes, ma'am. Is this the 2018 "Line AFD Salary Chart"? 0. Yes, ma'am. 4 Α. 5 Turning to the starting salary chart. Q. testified earlier, we just confirmed that the salary 6 range that would have been applied, according to your 8 testimony, was the \$66,254 to \$101,036, is that right? 9 That's my recollection, yes, ma'am. Α. And would you agree that based on this chart, that 10 11 salary range is an AD Level 23? 12 Yes, ma'am. Α. MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's go to 1309, which I 13 14 think is 2 pages up. Yes, good. 15 (On screen.) 16 Are you familiar with this document? 17 (Looks.) Yeah, thank you for scrolling down. 18 Thank you. 19 I am familiar with it, but it's a document that is 20 created by Mr. William Altman. 21 Q. Okay. And does this appear to be a "Notification of Personnel Action"? 22

Yes, ma'am.

23

Α.

Q. And in the bottom box, in the remarks, does it state, "Assistant Federal Public Defender is placed in

```
AD Level 28"?
 1
 2
     Α.
           Yes, ma'am.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's go back to the chart.
            (On screen.)
 4
 5
     Q.
           So looking again at this chart, is the starting
     salary range for an AD 28 go from $88,301 to $134,659?
 6
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
8
           Thank you.
           Can I explain something? There's a typographical
9
10
     error on that form.
11
           So it's your testimony today that AD 28 has a
12
     typographical error?
13
           Yes, ma'am, because you don't have the experience
     for an AD 28.
14
15
     Q.
           Okay.
16
           Which requires 8 to 9 years experience as an
17
     attorney, and you don't have that. So you're not an AD
     28.
18
19
           Would it surprise you to learn that it says "AD
20
     28" in multiple places, not just on that form?
21
     Α.
           People make mistakes, make errors. That's a
     typographical error.
22
23
     Q.
           Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's pull up Lettered Exhibit
24
25
           (On screen.) Go ahead and scroll through it.
     AX.
```

```
(On screen.)
1
           Are you familiar with this document?
 2
     Q.
           Can you go back, scroll back up? (Scrolls.)
     Α.
           Is this a request for personnel action?
 4
     Q.
 5
     Α.
           Yes.
           Does it state that the action is authorized by
 6
     Q.
     Anthony Martinez?
8
     Α.
           Yes.
9
           MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, I move to admit this
10
     document into evidence.
11
           THE COURT: Any objection?
12
           MS. YOUNG: One moment, your Honor, I'm just
     consulting my notes. (Pause.) Your Honor, we object on
13
14
     relevance grounds because this is not the original
15
     request or the final form.
           THE COURT: No, overruled. It may be admitted.
16
17
           It's AX for identification?
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor.
18
19
           THE COURT: All right, it's admitted in evidence,
     Exhibit 173.
20
           THE CLERK: 174.
21
22
           THE COURT: 174. I'm sorry.
23
            (Exhibit 174, marked.)
           MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
24
25
           Is the date that this request was made August
     Q.
```

```
28th, 2018?
1
 2
        Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
 3
     Q.
        Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Go ahead and scroll up to the
 4
 5
     top.
           (On screen.)
 6
 7
           Is the effective date for this request August
     Q.
8
     20th, 2018?
           Yes, ma'am.
9
     Α.
10
           Is August 20th, 2018 the day before August 21st,
     Q.
11
     2018?
           THE COURT: Well of course it is.
12
13
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
14
           (Laughs.) Let's look at the section that states,
     Q.
15
     "From, Position Title, and Number."
           Does this section say that the grade and step are
16
17
     Grade 14 and Step 2?
           If you're reading from the form, I'm not
18
19
     disagreeing, the form is what it is and it says what it
20
     says.
     Q. Okay, so just as a clarifying question. Under the
21
     Assistant Federal Public Defender section, does it say
22
23
     that the total salary being requested is $92,349?
24
     Α.
         Yes, it does.
25
           Okay, thank you.
```

```
MS. STRICKLAND: I'm done with that exhibit.
1
 2
           (Takes down.)
 3
           Mr. Martinez, before I raised my complaint of
     0.
     sexual harassment, had I ever put any formal request to
 4
 5
     transfer to the Asheville office?
 6
           You had indicated a desire to go to the Asheville
     office.
8
           Did I ever put in any formal request to transfer
     to the Asheville office?
9
10
           A formal request? What do you mean by "formal"?
11
           Did I ever state anything in writing, did I ever
12
     tell you -- did I ever e-mail you and say,
13
     "Mr. Martinez, I am putting in a formal request to
     transfer to the Asheville office"?
14
15
           You never e-mailed me indicating you were putting
     Α.
     in a formal request.
16
17
        Okay, thank you.
     Q.
           You testified earlier that you had an intern in
18
19
     the Asheville office, is that correct?
20
           A summer intern. It would be a summer intern,
21
     yes.
           You hired an intern and you -- did you start that
22
     Q.
23
     hiring process during the year of 2018?
24
           I don't recall the dates that we had interns there
25
     and it was not a hire, interns worked voluntarily. And
```

- I can't recall the dates when each internship started.
- 2 Q. Okay, but just to be clear, whether hired,
- 3 selected, you had an intern in the Asheville office, is
- 4 that correct?

- 5 A. We've had interns in the Asheville -- summer
- 6 interns in the Asheville office, yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Did you ever consider the possibility that putting
- 8 an intern in the Asheville office would signal that you
- 9 prioritized hiring an intern over resolving my sexual
- 10 harassment complaint?
- 11 A. First of all, I don't recall the dates. Um, I was
- 12 | not -- interns are volunteers. Interns are not
- 13 attorneys. I'm not understanding your question?
- 14 Q. My question is whether you ever considered the
- 15 possibility that having an intern in the Asheville
- 16 office would signal that you prioritized having a summer
- intern over resolving my sexual harassment claim?
- 18 A. No, ma'am, no. Not at all.
- 19 Q. Did you ever consider the possibility that having
- 20 an intern in the Asheville office would signal to my
- 21 co-workers that I was less important to you than an
- 22 intern?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- 24 O. You never considered that?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Did you ever consider the possibility that putting an intern in the Asheville office would be ostracizing or humiliating for me?
- A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Did you ever consider whether putting an intern in the Asheville office could be perceived as retaliation?
- A. No, ma'am.
- MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, I would like to ask him about an EDR matter. I understand that there is a request, um, from defendants to seal this information. I just wanted to clarify that before proceeding to make sure that -- to have guidance from the Court.
- MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, I would like to make an standing objection.
- THE COURT: Now I'm not clear what this involves, this so-called EDR matter. So can we talk about it without the necessity of sealing?
- MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, I believe so. We just understood that the defendants had made a sealing request. I'm not going to identify the employee. Your Honor, I understand that --
- MS. YOUNG: I mean we would just like to make a standing objection for the record. As we've indicated previously, EDR-related information, you know, was sealed by this Court, I believe, on June 21st, and we

```
agree it continues to be necessary to seal some of this
 1
                   These individuals are promised
 2
     information.
     confidentiality pursuant to Chapter 10, Section 4 of the
     plan. And we believe it's not relevant because
 4
 5
     plaintiff hasn't shown that these individuals are
 6
     similarly situated and none of these claims involve
 7
     deliberate indifference to alleged sexual advances.
8
     plaintiff could have called these witnesses for live
 9
     testimony and their EDR complaints are hearsay.
10
           THE COURT: Now the complaints themselves are --
11
     how are they marked?
12
           MS. STRICKLAND: I apologize, I didn't hear the
13
     last part of what you said?
14
           THE COURT:
                       The complaints themselves, what are
15
     their markings for identification?
16
           MS. STRICKLAND: Oh, they're letters. I'm sorry.
17
     It's all included in one exhibit, lettered BB.
           THE COURT:
18
                        DB.
19
           MS. STRICKLAND: "BB" as in "boy."
20
           THE COURT:
                       Two Bs.
21
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor.
22
           THE COURT: All right, I propose to proceed as
23
               I'm going to review those documents as sealed.
24
     Whether they are hearsay, and therefore inadmissible, or
25
     whether they are, um, admissible under any exception, I
```

1 will consider. If they, or any of them are admissible, I will rule. But I don't see any reason for 2 interrogating this witness about them. What's the reason for interrogating this witness? 4 5 I also have in mind, Ms. Strickland, the last time that I asked you you said you had about a half an hour 6 7 left and we've been going a half an hour. Do you have 8 much more for this witness? MS. STRICKLAND: Um, no, I don't have much more. 9 10 I would just like to clarify that we have discussed 11 these complaints in our proposed findings of fact. Τо 12 the extent that your Honor rules that we are not 13 permitted to ask these questions or that they should be 14 excluded, we would request that our proposed findings 15 serve as a written offer of proof for purposes of 16 appeal. 17 THE COURT: I accept that, and I'll proceed in that fashion. 18 19 MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor. I will 20 move on. THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor. 22 23 Mr. Martinez, you testified earlier that you Q. 24 recused yourself once the EDR investigation began, is 25 that correct?

```
Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
1
            And you had no involvement in the investigation
 2
 3
     except to be interviewed as a witness?
           Yes, ma'am.
 4
     Α.
 5
     Q.
           Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's look at Admitted Exhibit
 6
 7
          Go ahead and scroll through it so he can see.
8
            (Scrolls.)
            I'm just going to ask you if you're familiar with
9
     Q.
10
     this document, but I'll allow you to review it.
11
            (Looks.) Yes, I am familiar.
     Α.
12
            You're familiar, okay.
     Q.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Now go ahead and scroll to the
13
14
     top.
15
            (On screen.)
            Is this an e-mail from JP Davis to you?
16
     Ο.
17
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
            Is this dated August 20th of 2018?
18
     Q.
19
            Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
20
            Did JP Davis e-mail you a document called
     "Timeline of Current Events for You"?
21
            Yes, ma'am.
22
     Α.
23
            Did you ask JP Davis to prepare a timeline of
     Q.
24
     events for you regarding my sexual harassment complaint?
25
            Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
```

```
MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's look at Exhibit 44,
1
 2
     an admitted exhibit.
            (On screen.)
           Okay, are you familiar with this document? I'm
 4
     Q.
 5
     happy to scroll through it if you would like to review
 6
     it.
           Yes, please scroll it because I don't recall that.
     Α.
8
     Ο.
          (Scrolls.)
           Yes, I'm familiar with that.
 9
     Α.
10
           Is this an e-mail from JP Davis to you dated
     Q.
11
     September 18th, 2018?
12
           Could you scroll back up please, ma'am? (Looks.)
     Α.
13
     Yes.
14
           Did JP Davis forward you the timeline again per
     Q.
15
     request?
           I don't -- when you use the term "again," it
16
17
     appears that this timeline is more specific, more of an
     explanation on the dates, and the prior one was more
18
19
     like a summary. But, yes, yes, it was September 18th.
20
           Did you have any concerns about violating my
     confidentiality rights by discussing my complaint with
21
22
     the accused harasser?
23
            (Pause.) Um, no.
     Α.
24
           Did you have any concern that discussing my
25
     complaint with Mr. Davis could undermine the fairness of
```

```
the investigation?
1
 2
     Α.
           No.
           Did you rely on Mr. Davis's prepared timeline when
     you were interviewed by Heather Beam?
 4
 5
     Α.
           No.
           But to be clear, you asked him to prepare this for
 6
     Q.
     you, is that right?
8
         Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
9
           Okay.
     Q.
10
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's move on to Lettered Exhibit
11
         Okay, go ahead and scroll through it.
     AI.
12
            (On screen.)
13
           And I'm going to ask you if you're familiar with
     Q.
14
     this document?
15
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
           Is this your Significant Event Log dated December
16
17
     4th, 2018?
          Yes, ma'am.
18
     Α.
19
           MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, I move to admit this
20
     as a trial exhibit.
            THE COURT: Any objection?
21
            (Pause.)
22
23
           MS. YOUNG: Okay, there's lack of a foundation,
24
     it's not clear who wrote this and submitted this log.
25
            THE COURT: Well I'll sustain it on that ground.
```

```
She may further inquire.
1
           Did you or did someone on your behalf prepare this
 2
     document?
           Someone on my behalf.
 4
     Α.
 5
     Q.
           And you are familiar with this document, correct?
 6
     Α.
           Yes, ma'am.
           (Pause.)
8
           MS. STRICKLAND: We believe that there is an
     adequate foundation.
9
10
           THE COURT: Well you're familiar with it, sir.
11
     Who prepared it?
12
           THE WITNESS: My legal assistant, Holly Dixon.
           THE COURT: All right. It's overruled. It may be
13
14
     admitted, Exhibit 174 in evidence.
15
           THE CLERK:
                        175, Judge.
           THE COURT: 175. I'm sorry.
16
17
           (Exhibit 175, marked.)
           MS. STRICKLAND: Oh, I apologize.
18
19
           THE COURT: Go ahead.
20
           Does this log state that you received an e-mail
21
     from James Ishida regarding a troubling e-mail exchange
     with one of your attorneys?
22
23
           Yes, ma'am.
     Α.
           Did you give JP Davis an order that if he contacts
24
25
     Heather Beam or James Ishida again, then you will "walk
```

```
him out of the office"?
1
           Yes, ma'am.
 2
     Α.
           Does that mean fire Mr. Davis?
     0.
          Yes, ma'am.
 4
     Α.
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to the last paragraph.
           (On screen.)
 6
 7
           Does this paragraph state in part the following:
     Q.
8
     "Tony expressed several times that you cannot go to the
     trier of fact and speak about legal conclusions when
 9
10
     there is no basis, that was an informal conversation
11
     between James and Tony. There is currently no legal
12
     conclusion to the ongoing investigation."
13
           Is that an accurate statement?
14
     Α.
           Yes.
15
           Did you and James Ishida have an informal
16
     conversation about the EDR investigation while it was
17
     ongoing?
           The conversations were updates. I would
18
19
     periodically speak to Mr. Ishida, getting updates as to
20
     the status of the investigation. And that was the
     extent of the conversation.
21
           Did this informal conversation at all relate to
22
     Q.
23
     the legal conclusions of the EDR investigation?
            (Pause.) Around this time, um, Mr. Davis was
24
25
     contacting Mr. Ishida trying to find out the status of
```

the investigation and inquiring -- he had a lot of questions about who would the decision-maker be, to whom does the report go to? He had a lot of questions about -- about the process.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Martinez. I'm asking you about whether you and James Ishida discussed any matter related to the conclusions of the investigation?
- A. If you'd let me finish, I'm about to explain and answer your question.

And so we would go back and forth. I was talking to Mr. Ishida about the status of the investigation. JP had questions about legal matters, legal conclusions about the process, which I had -- I was not clear on. He then contacts, unbeknownst to me, Mr. Ishida, and asked him questions about the legal conclusions and legal processes of the investigation. And that's when I gave Mr. Davis a warning about trying to contact Mr. Ishida about these type of questions, legal questions, legal conclusions.

- Q. Do these notes convey that you were concerned that JP Davis contacted James Ishida about something that you and James Ishida had discussed?
- A. James Ishida and I had talked about the status of the investigation, that was what he and I had talked about. He periodically, as the Unit Executive, would

- give me an update as to the status.
- 2 Q. And did that status include any informal
- 3 conversation about the findings of the EDR
- 4 investigation?

- 5 A. There was one conversation that we had, um -- well
- 6 there were two phases. Ms. Beam, um, had finished up
- 7 the report, um, the report was submitted to both Judge
- 8 | Gregory -- Chief Judge Gregory, and James Ishida. Both
- 9 James Ishida and Chief Judge Gregory felt that the
- 10 report was not complete. So we had an update -- he gave
- 11 me an update advising me that their plan was to then
- 12 return the report to Ms. Beam, so she can complete it,
- 13 and complete it by having specific recommendations put
- 14 in there. So that was the discussion that we talked
- 15 about.
- 16 Q. Isn't your testimony today that you threatened to
- 17 | fire JP Davis because he contacted James Ishida about a
- 18 | conversation about an update on the EDR investigation?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. You testified that you participated in the
- 21 mediation, is that right?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. And you spoke to the mediator as part of that?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Did you also share portions of my mediation

supplement with JP Davis?

A. No, ma'am.

- Q. If JP Davis stated in his declaration that you shared portions of my mediation supplement with him, do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Davis is being untruthful?
  - A. I don't believe he's being untruthful, um, I just have no recollection of me providing that to him.
    - Q. Do you believe that asking for a timeline of events from JP Davis and sharing details with my complaint with him is consistent with the EDR plan?
    - A. Whether consistent or not, he's being accused of basically sexually harassing an employee, and as far as I'm concerned, he needed to know that. He needed to be given notice that he has been accused of wrongful conduct under Chapter 9 of the EDR plan for the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals.
    - Q. Do you believe that asking for a timeline of events from JP Davis and sharing details of my complaint with him is consistent with participating only as a witness in the investigation?
- 22 A. Yes.

MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, subject to my preservation of -- we would like to ask him about the EDR complaints, but we understand that's subject to a

```
pending motion before the Court. Subject to us
1
     reserving any offer of proof on that point, I have
 2
     nothing further.
           THE COURT: Your rights are saved in the manner
 4
 5
     that you've just stated.
           Ms. Young, nothing further for this witness, is
 6
 7
     there?
8
           MS. YOUNG: Less than 5 minutes, I think, your
 9
     Honor.
10
           THE COURT: You may. You go right ahead.
11
           MS. YOUNG: Thank you, your Honor.
12
13
     REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. YOUNG:
14
           Mr. Martinez, you testified that Ms. Strickland
15
     raised concerns about being mentored by Mr. Davis on
     July 5th. When did Ms. Strickland first raise concerns
16
17
     about being supervised by Mr. Davis?
           About being supervised?
18
     Α.
19
           Correct. When did Ms. Strickland first raise
20
     concerns about being supervised by Mr. Davis?
21
     Approximately.
22
           Well June 6th there was a meeting about the
     Α.
23
     argument or the disagreement that they had, um, and
24
     Mr. Davis's reaction. I don't recall her specifically
25
```

directing an issue. Um, it would have to be August 9th.

August 9th is the day when she specifically, um, asked
that the organizational chart, um, be modified and show
that she's not reporting to Mr. Davis, but she should be
reporting to Mr. Josh Carpenter, who is the Chief of
Appellate, and then Mr. Carpenter would be reporting to
me, and therefore JP -- Mr. Davis would not be in the
chain of command. And that was the first line, August
9th.

- Q. Thank you. And when approximately did you modify the supervisory chain so that Mr. Davis would not have supervisory authority over Ms. Strickland?
- A. Um, the dates are a little confusing to me, but I think I had already -- when I met with her on August 9th, I had already changed it. But if I didn't change it, I changed it soon after August 9th, um, in response to her request.
- Q. Understood. Thank you.

You testified earlier that after you called Ms. Strickland to apologize about the team assignments, you spoke to Mr. Davis a few days later on July 24th, is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And when you spoke to Mr. Davis on July 24th about any interaction with Ms. Strickland, what was your understanding at that time about whether Ms. Strickland

```
was alleging sexual harassment?
1
           At that time I indicated to Mr. Davis that she was
 2
     not alleging sexual harassment because I specifically
     asked her and she said she was not alleging it.
 4
 5
           You testified that Ms. Strickland was removed from
     Dixon. What role, if any, did she have on the case
 6
     after she was no longer serving a second chair?
8
           She continued supporting the Dixon case in her
     Α.
 9
     capacity as a Research and Writing Specialist.
10
           And you testified earlier that the first chair in
11
     Dixon left the office. By giving that testimony, did
12
     you indicate whether the first chair voluntarily or
13
     involuntarily left the office?
14
           I did not indicate that, correct.
     Α.
15
           Thank you.
     Q.
16
           And last question. Where did the interns sit in
17
     the Asheville office?
           Again there's only summer interns, um, for 2
18
19
     months, and they would sit in the same office that I was
20
     using, um, sharing.
21
     Q.
           Thank you.
22
           MS. YOUNG:
                        That's it for the government, your
23
     Honor.
                        Thank you.
24
           THE COURT:
25
           Nothing further, Ms. Strickland?
```

```
MS. STRICKLAND: Just one question, your Honor.
 1
 2
 3
     RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STRICKLAND:
           Do you believe there's a meaningful difference
 4
 5
     between "mentoring" and "supervising"?
 6
     Α.
           Yes.
           THE COURT: All right.
8
           If somebody, just hypothetically, had reported a
     sexual harassment complaint to you, do you think that
 9
10
     there's a relevant difference between "mentoring" and
11
     "supervising" by the accused harasser?
12
           I don't understand your question, ma'am?
13
           If you had received a complaint of sexual
14
     harassment about a supervisor, do you believe that it's
15
     appropriate to remove the supervisor from mentoring, but
16
     not supervising?
17
           MS. YOUNG: Objection, calls for speculation.
           THE COURT: Well, sustained, this is, um, really
18
19
     it's beyond the scope. And so we're done with
20
     Mr. Martinez.
21
           Let me, um --
22
           THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. May I be
23
     excused?
24
           THE COURT: You are excused and thank you.
25
                          Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
           THE WITNESS:
```

THE COURT: Let me say one thing that's arisen and I turn to the government for this.

I want the docket number of the **Dixon** case so that I can review the, um, the docket in that case. It's a matter of which I can take judicial notice. And, um, I may take judicial notice of the proceedings in the **Dixon** case.

Second, before we get on to the next witness, because it may be helpful, um, I have the government's motion for additional time for evidence. Denied.

However, we'll treat the time for closing as, um, not chargeable to either party. And if we don't get to it tomorrow, we'll have to set a time for closing in the new year. So the motion is allowed in part and denied in part. We will be done with the evidence, if not today, we'll be done with the evidence tomorrow.

All right. I also acknowledge for the Stricklands a motion that they filed, which I have not had a chance to review.

Call your next witness.

MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, this is Madeline McMahon for the government.

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. McMahon.

MS. McMAHON: And we're calling JP Davis.

THE COURT: He may be called.

```
MS. McMAHON: We're just going to fetch him now.
 1
           THE COURT: That's fine.
 2
 3
           (Pause.)
            (JOHN PARKE DAVIS, sworn.)
 4
 5
            *****
 6
 7
            JOHN PARKE DAVIS
            *****
 8
 9
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McMAHAON:
10
11
           Good morning, Mr. Davis.
     Q.
12
         Good morning.
     Α.
13
     Q.
           Can you please state your name for the record.
14
           I am JP Davis.
     Α.
15
           And how long have you worked at the Western
     District of North Carolina as the FDO?
16
17
           I was hired into the predecessor, the Community
     Q.
     Defender Organization, in 2012. I was appointed the
18
19
     First Assistant there in 2015. And then I was
20
     transitioned over and Ms. Richardson appointed me the
21
     First Assistant of the FDO, um, when that was created,
22
     in I believe January of 2017.
23
           Are you still the First Assistant?
     Q.
24
     Α.
           I am not.
25
           When did you first meet Ms. Strickland?
```

```
At her interview in March of 2017.
     Α.
1
           THE COURT: I know I'm interrupting, but just to
 2
 3
     get this timeline set.
           And when did you leave the office, sir? Or if
 4
 5
     you're in some different capacity, tell me.
           THE WITNESS: I am still an Assistant Federal
 6
 7
     Defender in the Western District of North Carolina.
8
           THE COURT: I see. But you're not the First
     Assistant?
9
           THE WITNESS: Correct.
10
11
           THE COURT: Thank you.
           Go ahead.
12
           Were you Ms. Strickland's mentor?
13
     Q.
14
           MS. McMAHON: Oh, excuse me, your Honor, what did
15
     you say?
           THE COURT: I just said, "Go ahead." I'm sorry.
16
17
           MS. McMAHON: Oh, yes, your Honor.
           Were you Ms. Strickland's mentor at the FDO?
18
     Q.
19
           I was, up until July of 2018.
     Α.
20
     0.
           What did that mentorship involve?
21
     Α.
           Essentially I created a training program for
     Ms. Strickland, when she came into the office, um, and
22
23
     administered that. When the team structure was created
     by Mr. Martinez in, I believe, December of 2017, um, it
24
25
     became more of a -- basically a resource role, someone
```

```
to be there for her, if she had any questions. And then
1
     it was to give her, you know, basically informal
 2
     quidance.
           When Ms. Strickland first started at the FDO in
 4
 5
     2017, what was your relationship with her like?
           I thought it was very positive, um, it was a good
 6
     working relationship. We were friendly. She came to me
8
     for advice often. I thought it was a very good
9
     relationship.
10
           MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, can you please show the
11
     witness what's been identified as Exhibit FU.
12
           (On screen.)
13
           Mr. Davis, you should see before you what's been
     Q.
     identified as FU?
14
15
           T do.
     Α.
           Do you recognize this document?
16
     Q.
17
        Yes, I do.
     Α.
           What is it?
18
     Q.
19
           Well these are texts from Ms. Strickland to me and
20
     Mr. Martinez sending us her wedding pictures, dated
     April 30th of 2018.
21
22
           MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit
     FU into evidence.
23
24
           THE COURT: No objection?
25
           MS. STRICKLAND: Um, no, your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: It's admitted Exhibit 176.
 1
            (Exhibit 176, marked.)
 2
 3
           MS. McMAHON: Thank you, your Honor.
           Mr. Davis, as part of your mentoring relationship,
 4
     Q.
 5
     did you go to lunch with Ms. Strickland?
           Um, yes, we had, I believe, four mentoring
 6
     lunches, um, in December, I believe in March, and in
8
     April, and finally on May 18th of 2018.
 9
           Why did you schedule mentoring lunches with
10
     Ms. Strickland?
11
           That was something that my mentor had done for me
12
     when I was in private practice, he would basically take
13
     me to a restaurant, away from the office, um, give me an
14
     opportunity to discuss any issues that I was having in
15
     the office. You know he showed an interest in me
16
     personally. And I thought it was a very effective
17
     mentoring technique, so I wanted to do the same for
     Ms. Strickland.
18
19
           And who paid at these lunches?
     0.
20
     Α.
           I did.
21
     Q.
           Why did you pay?
           That was also what my mentor did for me and
22
     Α.
23
     basically showed me that the firm was investing in me.
24
     And so I thought that was a significant portion of it.
25
           Did you ever drink alcohol with Ms. Strickland?
     Q.
```

A. Yes.

1

- Q. When did you drink alcohol with Ms. Strickland?
- A. Um, I believe there were at least a couple office
- 4 happy hours. We took a trip to Little Rock with an
- 5 investigator, um, for work purposes, and I believe we
- 6 drank on both nights of that trip, and that would have
- 7 been in November of 2017. We drank at the office
- 8 retreat together, um, I believe in December of 2017. We
- 9 had drinks with, um, a trial team after a client pled
- 10 quilty in a large sophisticated fraud case. Um, we also
- 11 had drinks at the courthouse's annex groundbreaking
- 12 ceremony. And then I believe also on May 15th following
- 13 Ms. Strickland's first examinations in a suppression
- 14 hearing.
- 15 Q. And what happened on May 15th?
- 16 A. Ms. Strickland, um, we had a suppression hearing
- 17 when Ms. Strickland examined witnesses for the first
- 18 time, um, after that we went to lunch to celebrate. We
- 19 | had talked about just, you know, joking, having drinks
- 20 at lunch. At the end of the lunch, Ms. Strickland asked
- 21 if we could actually go have drinks? And so we did.
- 22 The investigator could not join us, so it was just me
- and Ms. Strickland.
- 24 Q. So Ms. Strickland asked you to get drinks on May
- 25 | 15th?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And who attended those drinks?
- $3 \mid A$ . Just me and her.
- 4 Q. When Ms. Strickland was employed at the FDO, did
- 5 you ever give her rides home?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. How often?
- A. 1 to 5 -- well probably closer to 10, somewhere around that, um, occasions.
- 10 Q. Who initiated those rides?
- 11 A. Typically her, although I offered her rides, from
- 12 | time to time, as well.
- 13 Q. Why did you give her rides home?
- 14 A. Well she lived a mile away from me and she often
- 15 road, um, her bike to work, so it was pretty easy for me
- 16 to do. I think that it started when we went to the trip
- in Little Rock and she asked for a ride to the airport.
- 18 Q. Back to the mentoring lunches. What do you recall
- 19 about the last mentoring lunch on May 18th, 2018?
- 20 A. We had lunch out at a restaurant, away from the
- 21 office, um, where most of that lunch we discussed work
- 22 issues. Ms. Strickland talked about her desire to be on
- 23 a trial case that summer and she was particularly
- 24 focused on a difficult child pornography, child
- 25 molestation case, the **Dixon** case, um, that carried a

life penalty. I encouraged her to get trial experience, but on one of the other two cases that we expected that we'd be going to trial. But Ms. Strickland was very focused on the <code>Dixon</code> case, um, because she believed the other cases would get continued and she wanted to be on a case that summer.

Q. What else do you remember about that lunch?

A. I told Ms. Strickland, um, that I did not think that it would be a good idea for her to be on the *Dixon* case because the First Chair on that case was our least-experienced AFD. I also didn't think it was a great case for anybody's first case. But that there was a problem because we couldn't basically put our least-experienced attorneys on a case carrying a life penalty, that would certainly end at some point with an ineffective assistance of counsel claim. The Office couldn't defend that. And she acknowledged that.

Then after lunch I asked her how things were going -- as we were headed back towards the office, I asked her how things were going in general? And she said she was tired of living like a single person, now that she was married. I asked her if she and her husband had discussed what they would do about that? And she said, "If I don't get moved to Asheville, I'm going to quit."

Q. How did you respond to that?

A. I told her I was very sorry to hear that because there were no positions available in the Asheville office at that time, um, and I could not guarantee when one might become open. This was a desirable office.

And I said, you know, I hoped that her husband might be amenable to move to Charlotte. And she said, "I cannot ask him to do so, because he had his dream job in Tryon."

- Q. And what did Ms. Strickland say in response?
- A. She was upset, um, she said that she had not meant to bring up the topic at that time, that she had meant to save it until her annual review in August. She said that she would be open to teleworking, doing only appeals, but she didn't really want to do that because Mr. Martinez wouldn't be the Defender forever and the next Defender might not allow that. I told her, you know, that the Office just could not guarantee that there would be a position in Asheville in any given timeframe. She thanked me for being honest with her.
  - Q. Do you recall if Ms. Strickland said anything else about staying in the office?
  - A. Um, yes, she said -- well, first of all, she said, "Why do you think I've been working my butt off all year?" and she said, "Why do you think I wanted a trial this summer so badly?" Which I understood in context

means that she believed having a trial this summer would increase basically her leverage when she brought this up at her annual review. She then said, um, that if she couldn't get a transfer to Asheville, she at least wanted to be promoted or raised to a G-15 and to be promoted to an Assistant Federal Defender. She stated that she had an offer letter from our office that would, um, that promised that she would be transitioned to an AFD slot within a few months of starting, which I knew to be inaccurate, and she said that she had discussed it with her husband and she would, quote, "waive it around" if she was not promoted to an Assistant Federal Defender.

- Q. And what happened, um, at the end of this conversation?
- A. Um, as we got back to the office and at that point it had become a much -- it was back to being a pretty friendly conversation. I had told Ms. Strickland at the beginning of the lunch that I expected that this would be the last one that we would need to have, except for one close to her annual review. I repeated that.

At the end of the lunches, we got back to the office and Ms. Strickland stated that it didn't have to be the last time, that she would be open to me buying her lunch any time or to cutting out early to get

- drinks, like we had a few days earlier with a suppression hearing, at any time.
- Q. Did you follow up with Ms. Strickland about your conversation at lunch that day?
- 5 I did. When I got back to the office, I looked at the GS pay scales, I wasn't very familiar with it and I 6 did not know how much of a raise Ms. Strickland was 8 asking for. When I pulled it up, it showed that she needed 10 years of experience, federal experience to be 9 10 a G15. So I e-mailed her to let her know basically that 11 she didn't meet that qualification, but also let her 12 know that I had an idea for how she basically could get 13 to the same -- to roughly the same point.
  - MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, will you please display
    Page 18 of what's been admitted into evidence as Exhibit
    5, EX 005.
- 17 (On screen.)

14

15

- Q. Mr. Davis, is this the e-mail you were just referring to a moment ago?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And why did you use the phrase "mas dinero" in the subject line?
- A. Um, Ms. Strickland spoke Spanish and basically it
  was just keeping the tone, the lighthearted tone that we
  had left the conversation on, basically conveying that

- this was not a very serious e-mail.
- Q. And why did you address her with the word "Dude"?
- A. The same reason, it was lighthearted and keeping with the tone that the end of our conversation was.
- Q. What did you mean when you used the phrase "Pay for stay"?
- 7 A. That was a reference to her statement that if she 8 couldn't get transferred to Asheville, she wanted an 9 increase in pay in order to stay employed with the 10 Federal Defender's Office.
  - Q. Do you know if your interpretation of the GS scale in this e-mail is accurate?
- 13 A. I do not.

11

- MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, please take down the exhibit.
- 16 (Off screen.)
- Q. Mr. Davis, when was your next conversation with Ms. Strickland?
- A. I was on vacation the next week and Ms. Strickland
  e-mailed me a couple of times about a few different
  subjects. I arranged to meet with her when I got back
  to the office on May 29th. On the 29th, um, I was with
  a witness who's testifying in court. On my way back
  from court I ran into Ms. Strickland and Peter Adolph
  talking on the street corner and --

```
MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
 1
           THE COURT: Well we'll stop it there.
 2
 3
           MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, may I respond?
           THE COURT: You may.
 4
 5
           MS. McMAHON: He's not testifying to the substance
     of any conversations, he's just describing what
 6
 7
     happened.
8
           THE COURT: Well isn't it a conversation?
                                                        What
 9
     happened is a conversation.
10
           MS. McMAHON: Um, the witness isn't describing the
11
     contents of any conversation, he's just saying that he
12
     ran into Ms. Strickland who was with another employee.
           THE COURT: Well he may so testify.
13
14
           I ran into Ms. Strickland talking to Peter Adolph
     Α.
15
     on the street corner, um, and I let her know that
16
     basically I was available to meet, as we had planned,
17
     whenever she wanted to. And she told me that she was
     going for a walk, but she invited me to go with her.
18
19
     And then we walked around the Fourth Ward, which is a
20
     quiet residential neighborhood of Charlotte, together.
21
     Q.
           Approximately how long was the walk?
           About 45 minutes.
22
     Α.
23
           And what did you discuss on this walk?
     Q.
24
     Α.
           Well we primarily discussed the Dixon case.
25
     Ms. Strickland had talked to Mr. Martinez and been
```

assigned a second chair to --1 2 MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay. 3 THE COURT: Well that's undisputed, so I won't take it for the substance. But you may say what you 4 5 said and what she said. Go ahead. 6 7 Α. She principally talked to me about the Dixon case 8 and issues that she had seen on it, um, problems that 9 she identified with, you know the work that had been 10 done or the lack of the work that had been done, and she 11 was basically asking for my advice about it, um, and 12 venting a lot about it and, you know, how she was dealing with it. 13 14 And who was on this walk? Q. 15 Just me and her. Α. Who initiated this walk? 16 0. 17 Ms. Strickland. Α. After May 29th, when did you next communicate with 18 Q. 19 Ms. Strickland? 20 Um, well at the end of that walk, um, I had told 21 Ms. Strickland, um, that, um, I thought she was doing 22 well, um, doing the best she could with it, but that I 23 believed that Mr. Martinez was likely to take her off 24 the second chair counsel at the end, um, when he came

He was out that week.

25

back.

After that I, um, exchanged some e-mails with her over the course of the next few days, um, and some of those she did not respond to. In particular, um, I was concerned about one where she didn't seem, um, interested in a case that we had worked on together, the suppression hearing, a case that we needed to meet with the client to let him know that we had lost the suppression hearing and needed to talk to him about what was going to happen with his case, which was at a pretty significant point in his case. And she seemed to have lost interest in that case, which concerned me.

Q. Um, what happened on the --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Let me interrupt.

When you said that when Mr. Martinez returned, he was likely to take her off the **Dixon** case, did you -- what did you say? Did you give reasons for that? What did you say to her?

THE WITNESS: Um, to Ms. Strickland? I don't remember the exact words that I used, but I basically conveyed to her what I had conveyed to her in the past, that I thought it was a problem to have our two least experienced attorneys on the case because it would almost certainly end in an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, that we would have difficulty defending

that decision, and also because of the issues that she 1 had identified with the first chair's performance which 2 3 basically indicated it needed a more experienced attorney on it as well. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 Ms. McMahon, you go ahead. 7 MS. McMAHON: Thank you, your Honor. 8 Mr. Davis, um, do you recall what happened on June 0. 1st? 9 I had sent Ms. Strickland an e-mail 10 Yes, I do. 11 the night before about meeting with the client I had 12 just referenced, and she said, you know, that she had a discovery review or some meeting for **Dixon**, um, but to 13 14 let her know when I was going to meet the client, she'd

I saw her then that morning. My trial team had reserved the large conference room for our team meeting, and she was in this meeting, and basically she looked extremely unhappy that we were, you know, taking the conference room even though we had reserved it in advance.

MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, can you please display Exhibit DB.

(On screen.)

let me know if she could go.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Davis, do you recognize this document?

I do. Α. 1 What is it? 2 Q. This is an e-mail chain between Ms. Strickland and Α. myself dated June 1st, 2018. 4 5 Is this the e-mail you were just referring to 6 where you asked Ms. Strickland if she wanted to attend the client meeting? 8 Α. Yes. And what was that client meeting for? 9 10 That was to tell the client, who we had just done Α. 11 the suppression hearing for, that he had lost the 12 hearing and to discuss with him his case going forward. 13 And who argued the suppression hearing? Q. 14 Myself and Ms. Strickland jointly. Ms. Strickland Α. 15 examined witnesses in that hearing. MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 16 17 DB into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? 18 19 MS. STRICKLAND: We object on 106 grounds because this doesn't -- unless there's more that's not on the 20 screen, it doesn't include the full e-mail chain. 21 MS. McMAHON: This is the full e-mail chain. 22 23 There are multiple pages. 24 THE COURT: On that representation --

MS. McMAHON:

Excuse me, your Honor?

```
THE COURT: No, go ahead and scroll through.
1
     whole thing will be admitted, Exhibit 177.
 2
 3
            (Exhibit 177, marked.)
           THE COURT: Proceed, Ms. McMahon.
 4
 5
           MS. McMAHON:
                          Thank you, your Honor.
 6
           Mr. Spears, can you go to Page 2 of this document.
 7
           (On screen.)
8
           Mr. Davis, what did Ms. Strickland e-mail you
     0.
     about whether she could attend the client meeting?
 9
10
           Um, she e-mailed that she had been completely
11
     absorbed in the Dixon matter, um, and was completely
12
     mentally and emotionally exhausted, and then asked what
13
     the meeting with the client was for? And said that she
14
     wasn't really sure that I needed her to go, but if I
15
     did, then Monday would work best.
16
           And what did you respond to Ms. Strickland?
17
           I responded to her explaining what the meeting was
     for, um, and that I did not need her to go, I just asked
18
19
     if she wanted to and took her response.
                                               It was a "Yes."
20
     I then stated to her that -- noted that she had been
21
     unhappy earlier, um, that I was happy to offer a drink
     and ear if she needed it, but that I recommended that
22
23
     she talk to Mary Ellen Coleman, if not.
24
           Why did you offer to give Ms. Strickland a, quote,
25
     "drink and an ear"?
```

A. I was a bit annoyed actually by Ms. Strickland's response to me, um, both because of what I described earlier with the issues with her lacking interest in the client, um, and because, you know, she seemed to be unhappy about the fact that I was contacting her, even though I thought that's what she had asked me to do. But in light of what she had said about being completely mentally and emotionally exhausted, I decided that I would take this sort of tact.

So basically I was trying to let her know that I was available if she wanted to talk about anything. I included a reference to a drink as basically the reference to what she told me on May 18th, that she would always be up to cut out early for a drink, um, to basically make a more friendly offer to make sure she knew that that was a friendly offer.

Q. And why --

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. You referred her to some other person. Who is that person and what's their connection?

THE WITNESS: Um, that person, Mary Ellen Coleman, is the Branch Manager in Asheville. I referred her, um, to Ms. Coleman because it was clear to me, following what happened earlier that week, that Ms. Strickland did not want to talk to me about the **Dixon** matter,

specifically after I had said that I believed that

Mr. Martinez would take it off of her. So I recommended

that she talk to Ms. Coleman for two reasons.

First, because Ms. Coleman is in the Asheville office and so it had nothing to do with the *Dixon* matter and she can be objective about that. And second, because Ms. Coleman has significant experience with difficult sexual abuse and child sex-type of cases and I thought that she would be a good resource for Ms. Strickland in dealing with that, which is often an extremely difficult subject matter in the cases that we deal with.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. McMAHON: Thank you, Mr. Davis.

- Q. And when you sent this e-mail, were you making a sexual advance towards Ms. Strickland?
- 17 A. No, I was not.

MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, please take down that exhibit.

(Off screen.)

- Q. Mr. Davis, do you recall what happened on June 5th?
  - A. I do. There was a meeting, a large meeting about the **Dixon** trial that I was not a part of. Following that meeting, Ms. Strickland sent me an e-mail saying

that she could not attend a presentence interview that had been previously scheduled around her schedule, um, because there was a discovery review in *Dixon* and she stated that, um, that was the only time that everyone could do it.

Q. What was the presentence interview?

- A. That was a -- it's basically a portion, um, of the sentencing process where the -- where the defendant meets the probation officer. It's an important part of the process because it's basically the only time that you get to humanize your client to the person who is creating the presentence report, and there's also a lot of good information that can come out of it. So it's very important to have an attorney present for that.
  - Q. And how did you respond to Ms. Strickland's saying that she couldn't attend the meeting for your mutual client?
  - A. I was frustrated by that because this was the second time in about a week that she seemed to be, um, basically showing no interest in one of her clients.

    That's a significant portion of being a Federal Defender is basically humanizing your client, seeing them as a person and treating them that way. You know the federal system tends to try to reduce people to numbers on a grid, and our job, as defense attorneys, is to put their

```
humanity back into it. And we get very attached to the
1
     clients and --
 2
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, your Honor, this lacks
     foundation.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: Well part of this lacks foundation, so
     we'll stop it at this point. And Ms. McMahon will put
 6
     another question.
8
           Mr. Davis, after Ms. Strickland told you she could
     Ο.
     not attend her client's meeting, what happened next?
 9
10
           At that point I contacted Erin Taylor, who was a
11
     good friend of mine, and who is also a trial team
12
     leader, another supervisor, um, and I texted her
13
     basically just to vent about my frustration.
14
           So can you describe who Erin Taylor is?
     Q.
15
           Erin Taylor? She was another supervisor in the
16
     FDO, um, she was a close friend of mine, she had been my
17
     trial partner for a while, um, and she was also the team
     leader who was the supervisor of the trial attorneys
18
19
     that were doing the Dixon case, um, in general.
20
           And what happened when you texted Ms. Taylor?
21
           Um, I texted her, you know, that Ms. Strickland
22
     had not asked me, and just to vent basically my
23
     frustration, um, and from I learned that Ms. Strickland
24
```

MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, um, we'll stop it there.

MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, may I respond?

THE COURT: Well he's gone beyond your question. What happened next is he texted this Ms. Taylor. Now go from there.

MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, I would like to ask the question of "Why did Ms. Taylor respond?" and I just want to be clear about why that is not hearsay.

THE COURT: I'll hear you. (Pause.) Go ahead.

MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, we are not introducing
Ms. Taylor's statement for the truth of the matter
asserted. It doesn't matter whether or not what
Ms. Taylor texted Mr. Davis is true, it goes towards
Mr. Davis's knowledge and how he -- which informs how he
responded to, um, to, um -- to how he took the next
steps in the case.

THE COURT: What's the response?

MS. STRICKLAND: Without knowing exactly what it is, we would submit that out-of-court statements by another employer is clearly hearsay, they're being used for the truth of the matter asserted. This witness is not here testifying --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Strickland, and it's difficult when I'm at a distance. Really that question was for Ms. McMahon.

What was Ms. Taylor's response? 1 2 MS. McMAHON: Um, I'm happy, you know, to -- may 3 we -- may we ask the witness to testify about their 4 response? 5 THE COURT: No, I'm asking you. 6 MS. McMAHON: Or I can explain that. 7 THE COURT: Not explain it, what do you think she 8 said? 9 MS. McMAHON: Sure. Ms. Taylor told Mr. Davis that Ms. Strickland had 10 11 not raised a scheduling conflict when she scheduled the 12 **Dixon** meeting. 13 THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain the 14 objection. And you now ask Mr. Davis, as a result of 15 Ms. Taylor's response, because I guess that's where you 16 want to go, what did you next do or the like? 17 Go ahead. Um, based on your understanding of whether -- of 18 19 what Ms. Taylor's response was, um, how did you react to the fact that Ms. Strickland said she couldn't attend 20 21 the presentence investigation? 22 Um, I was very frustrated because it appeared that Α. 23 she had not attempted to meet those obligations, um, so 24 I was determined to tell her that she had to go to the

presentence interview. And I contacted Mr. Martinez to

- make sure that this response was alright by him, before

  I took that action.
- Q. And what was your understanding about whether

  Ms. Strickland was being truthful about her scheduling

  conflicts?
  - A. At that time it appeared to me that she had been misleading. I later learned that it was actually false.

MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, could you please pull up EX 009, Page 7, this is what's been admitted into evidence as Exhibits 9.

(On screen.)

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. Mr. Davis, do you recognize this document?
- A. I do, these are texts between Erin Taylor and myself dated June 5th, 2018.
- 15 Q. What are you texting Ms. Taylor about?
  - A. Ms. Taylor was texting me about e-mails from, um, that Ms. Strickland had sent, um, where basically she had rearranged a different discovery review without clearing it, the time workings with the first, um -- with the first chair of the *Dixon* case, um, and it
- 22 Q. And why did you text Ms. Taylor that
- 23 Ms. Strickland is more manipulative than you expected?
  - A. Um, up until this point I had given Ms. Strickland

appeared essentially that she was running that case.

25 the benefit of the doubt on several things, most

```
particularly my suspicion that she had not raised the
 1
     ineffective assistance of counsel claim with
 2
     Mr. Martinez, but some other issues as well. And based
     upon her statements to me and also her other conduct
 4
 5
     regarding the Dixon case at this point, um, I believe I
     should not be giving her the benefit of the doubt.
 6
           Who else did you intend to see these texts besides
     Q.
 8
     Ms. Taylor?
           No one.
 9
     Α.
10
           What happened on June 6th?
11
           On June 6th, um, in the morning I met with
12
     Mr. Martinez regarding what was going on, um, and
13
     confirmed my suspicion that --
14
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
15
           THE COURT:
                        I'll sustain it at that point. Yes,
16
     you met with him, and then what happened?
17
           I met with Mr. Martinez, um, and obtained his
     Α.
     approval to go forward, um, with telling Ms. Strickland
18
19
     that she needed to go to the PSI, the presentence
20
     interview, excuse me, and then Ms. Strickland e-mailed
21
     me asking to meet and I met with Ms. Strickland that
     morning about it.
22
23
           What happened at that meeting?
     Q.
24
           At that meeting, Ms. Strickland, um, again
25
     repeated what she had said the night before, about this
```

being the only time that everyone could do it, remove the conflict. I asked her if she had raised that she had a conflict, and she responded to that. Then I asked her again and she stated that she thought she had to prioritize. I told her -- I mean I explained to her that I felt there was an issue of how -- because basically this is how we treat our clients, that she needed to try to meet all her obligations, and directed her to go to the presentence interview. And at the end of that, she confirmed that she understood and that she would be going.

Q. What happened later that day on June 6th?

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

to this meeting.

- 13 Ms. Strickland e-mailed me, a little after 5:00, Α. 14 and stated that the discovery in the Dixon case was set, 15 um, and that she would not -- and that she would not be 16 going to the presentence interview. She forwarded a 17 chain, an e-mail chain from March of that year in another situation where I had told her that she didn't 18 19 have to do shadowing activities with me specifically, 20 that she could do them for other people, and she basically characterized that as shadowing activities 21 22 being optional, and that is why she did not have to go
  - Q. And why didn't you view this as an optional exercise?

- Shadowing in general wasn't optional, the whole Α. purpose of the shadowing program was to give her the experience that she needed to have in order to actually represent clients effectively. The specific individual instances reflects both. So in this case it was not the specific presentence interview that was the issue, it was in part it had been set up around her schedule to begin with, but in larger part, um, the biggest issue I had at that point was that I told her I expected her to go, and rather than addressing it with me directly, you know showing any kind of acknowledgement of the issue, explaining why she, you know, needed to go or anything like that, she just told me she just wasn't going to do what I had told her to do. And I felt at that point I didn't really have a choice but to enforce that she had to go.
- 17 Q. And what ended up happening on June 7th with the 18 PSI?
  - A. Um, Ms. Strickland did go.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. In the next few weeks after June 7th, 2018, what was your relationship with Ms. Strickland like?
- A. It was tense, um, particularly to begin with, but
  over the weeks it got better and more friendlier. There
  still were a couple of months that were tense, but in
  general it moved back to being friendly.

MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, can you please pull up 1 Page 35 of the X 005. 2 (On screen.) Mr. Davis, what is this document? 4 Q. 5 Α. This is an e-mail chain between myself and Ms. Strickland dated June 19th of 2018. 6 Why did Ms. Strickland e-mail you on June 19th? Q. 8 We had been working on a sentencing memorandum, a large sentencing memorandum, a more complicated fraud 10 case that she, um, had been working on with me since she 11 started in the office. I believe this was the -- I had 12 sent her the final product, the first and final edits, 13 and she was -- you know she got them to me a bit later 14 than expected, um, and so she was sending that to me. 15 What did you mean by offering to get a "celebratory drink" with Ms. Strickland? 16 17 I referred to a "celebratory drink" because, you Α. know, it was a big -- you know it was a large sentencing 18 19 memo so it wouldn't have been unusual at all to go 20 celebrate something like that, but mainly the reason that I brought it up is because I frankly was annoyed 21 with her, I knew that she couldn't go, um, and I was 22 23 being really passive aggressive. When you sent this e-mail, were you making a 24

sexual advance towards Ms. Strickland?

A. No, I was not.

MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, please take down the exhibit.

(Takes down.)

- Q. What happened with Ms. Strickland on June 21st?
- A. On June 21st? Well the day before, on June 20th, she had come to my office to give me a High-5 for completing the sentencing memo, and we had, um, were scheduled to meet the next morning, on the 21st, to talk about some other legal issues with the case that was going to be sentenced on the 25th, I believe.

On the morning of the 21st, Ms. Strickland did not come to meet with me and when I went to find her, I couldn't find her, so we didn't meet that morning. She then e-mailed me that afternoon apologizing for having missed the meeting and asking me if I still needed help, and telling me that she was going to be out that day, which was the last business day before that hearing was scheduled, and I responded that I did and sent her a topic that I wanted researched. And then she asked if she could meet with me at 5:00 that day?

- Q. Did you end up meeting with Ms. Strickland at
- 23 5:00?
- 24 A. I did, yes.
- 25 Q. How long did that meeting last?

A. About an hour and 45 minutes.

1

2

10

11

25

- Q. What happened after that meeting?
- A. At the end of that meeting -- that meeting was

  very friendly, I thought it was a positive meeting, but

  at the end of it a thunderstorm was blowing in and I

  asked Ms. Strickland if she had ridden her bike to work?

  And because of the light rain, I offered to give her a

  ride, if she had. She told me she did not believe it

  was going to rain, but if it did, it was okay, because
  - Q. What happened next?

she was tough.

- A. Ms. Strickland went back to her office, which is
  an interior office with no window, I finished what I was
  doing, and as I started to leave it started raining
  heavily. So I texted Ms. Strickland to, you know, give
  her a last chance to get a ride. I then went downstairs
  and waited a few minutes to see if she would respond to
  my text.
- 19 Q. How long were you waiting downstairs in the lobby?
- 20 A. No more than a couple of minutes.
- 21 Q. How close did you get to -- or what happened after 22 that?
- A. At that point Ms. Strickland did not respond to my text, but she came down the elevator into the lobby.
  - Q. And how close did you get physically to

```
Ms. Strickland in the lobby?
1
           No more than 10 yards. She was basically in the
 2
     elevator in the middle of the lobby, I was on one side
     where there's a hallway to the back exit, and the wall
 4
 5
     of doors to the front exit were on the other side, and
     she left by those doors.
 6
           Who could see you and Ms. Strickland when you were
     Q.
8
     in the lobby?
9
           Um, by me, on the other side of the hallway I was
10
     at, there was a kickboxing studio at the time, where the
11
     wall was glass, and there was a kickboxing class going
12
     on and about 20 or 30 people in it who could see us.
13
           Mr. Davis, do you recall what happened on June
14
     26th?
15
           Um, yes, I do. On the 26th, um, Mr. Martinez, um,
16
     forwarded me an e-mail --
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
           THE COURT: No, forwarding is --
18
19
           MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, may I respond?
20
           THE COURT:
                       It's not necessary. He may testify.
21
     Α.
           Um, Mr. Martinez forwarded me, and I believe Josh
22
     Carpenter, an e-mail from Ms. Strickland. Um, I believe
23
     a few days earlier than that, one of the attorneys in
24
     the office had left unexpectedly and we had -- and "we,"
```

being the management team, had decided that some of his

```
cases, low-level cases, could be reassigned to
1
     Ms. Strickland. Ms. Strickland, um -- the e-mail that
 2
 3
     Mr. Martinez forwarded was from Ms. Strickland, you
     know, eventually complaining about being assigned those
 4
 5
     cases.
           And how did you respond to receiving that e-mail?
 6
     Q.
           Um, I had given some thought at that point, um,
8
     about Ms. Strickland's conduct, and there, and under the
 9
     previous month, um, it had occurred to me that, um, part
10
     of the issues might have been that, you know, she didn't
11
     really have any practice experience before she came to
12
     us, and it's a very high-pressure job, and she didn't,
     you know -- she might need help developing coping skills
13
14
     for dealing with the high stress of the job.
15
     basically I determined that it would be a good idea to
16
     try and meet with her, um, to basically help her deal
17
     with that.
           And after you discussed meeting with
18
19
     Ms. Strickland with Mr. Martinez, what did you do?
20
           Um, Mr. Martinez, you know, approved to that which
21
     I --
22
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
23
           THE COURT: No, approval is a then-present
     statement of intent, "I approve." It is hearsay, but
24
25
     it's admissible under 8033. It may stand.
```

```
MS. McMAHON: Thank you, your Honor.
1
           THE COURT: It's time for the recess, and I know
 2
 3
     you're in the midst, Ms. McMahon, and we started late,
     so we'll take only a 15-minute recess and we'll start at
 4
 5
     11:15. We'll stand in recess until 11:15.
           We'll recess.
 6
 7
            (Recess, 11:00 a.m.)
            (Resumed, 11:15 a.m.)
8
 9
           THE COURT: You may proceed.
10
           MS. McMAHON: Thank you, your Honor.
11
           Mr. Davis, I believe you were discussing June
     Q.
12
     26th, 2018.
13
           After you talked to Mr. Martinez about meeting
14
     with Ms. Strickland on June 26th, what did you do?
15
           At that point I prepared an agenda for the
     coping-skills meeting that I wanted to have, um, with
16
17
     Ms. Strickland, and then I e-mailed Ms. Strickland to
     arrange that meeting.
18
19
           MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, can you please pull up
     EX 005 at Page 46 and 47, and that's already been
20
21
     admitted into evidence as Exhibit 5.
22
           (On screen.)
23
           Mr. Davis, do you recognize this document?
     Q.
24
     Α.
           I do.
25
           What is it?
     Q.
```

- 1 A. These are e-mails between myself and
- 2 Ms. Strickland, um, dated June 27th and 28th, 2018.
- Q. Is this the, um, setting up the meeting that you were just referring to?
- 5 A. That's correct.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And why were you e-mailing Ms. Strickland?
- A. Well I was e-mailing Ms. Strickland to basically set up a time to have the meeting that I had explained to Mr. Martinez about to basically help her, um, with, you know, stress-coping skills.
- 11 Q. In this e-mail, why did you suggest setting a post-*Davis* drink.
  - A. Um, *Davis* was the big fraud case that

    Ms. Strickland had been working on with me since, um,

    since she came to the office, and the sentencing had

    been, I believe, two days before this. But the larger

    context of this is I basically was, you know, suggesting

    that we should have the meeting, um, basically wherever

    she felt most comfortable.

Specifically my reference to a drink was going back to her May 18th statement that she would always be good for cutting out for a drink. And I still think that being away from the office would be good, because I expected us to talk about, you know, potential stressors within the office, like, you know, working with support

- staff or things like that. I thought that she might be
  more willing to be open about that if she didn't have to
  worry about, you know, "Is this person right around the
  corner?" or something to that effect. But the general
  effort was to make it in whatever environment made her
  most comfortable.
- 7 Q. Were you making a sexual advance towards
- 8 Ms. Strickland when you sent this e-mail?
- 9 A. No, I was not.
- 10 Q. Did Ms. Strickland ever indicate to you that she was uncomfortable getting a drink?
- 12 A. No, she did not.
- Q. And besides for these three e-mails we've been discussing today from June 1st, 2019 and this e-mail here from June 26th, what if any -- on what if any other occasions in June did you ask Ms. Strickland to get a drink?
- 18 A. None.
- MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, please take town the exhibit.
- 21 (Takes down.)
- 22 Q. What happened after you sent this e-mail to
- 23 Ms. Strickland?
- 24 A. Ms. Strickland arranged for us to meet at
- 9:00 a.m. in the office on that Friday. On that Friday

morning she texted me that she was sick and could not come in, um, so I asked to reschedule the meeting for Monday, which we did.

- Q. And what was the date on that Monday?
- A. That would have been July 2nd. I believe the meeting was at 3:00 or 3:30, something like that.
- Q. Did you end up meeting with Ms. Strickland on July 2nd?
  - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And what happened during that meeting?
  - A. I started, um, the meeting going down the agenda I had created, um, which was basically explaining to her why I was having the meeting, that it was intended to be supportive and productive for her, not critical in any way, and, um, you know asked her to identify her short-term and long-term goals, I was basically going down the agenda that I had prepared.

At that point Ms. Strickland thanked me for mentoring, but turned the conversation to June 6th and June 7th regarding the *Dixon* discovery review, the presentence-interview issue, and stated that, um, the way I had talked to her on those days was unacceptable. At that point I tried to, um, redirect the conversation back to what it was supposed to be about, but Ms. Strickland was very strident on that, she wanted to

talk about what happened on June 6th and June 7th. She said that -- she acknowledged that I had -- you know as her supervisor I had the ability to disapprove of her actions, but she specifically notes that -- stated that my tone on those dates was unacceptable.

- Q. And what if anything did Ms. Strickland say to you, at the end of the July 2nd meeting, about meeting again?
- A. At the end of that meeting, um, I stated, you know, that, um, that she had lied to me, which was unacceptable, at which point she stood up and said, um, "We should have this conversation with Tony," um, and walked out, and I walked out with her to go to Mr. Martinez. Then she stated -- she said "Now?" And I said "Yes, or else we can come down and try to meet together." And she stated -- she said something that basically sounded like she agreed with that, but it was unclear to me whether she was saying she wanted to meet with me and her or whether she wanted to meet with me and Tony and her. So I asked her what he meant, and she said, "Let me go deal with this and I'll get back to you real soon," and walked away.
- Q. And did you end up meeting again with Ms. Strickland on July 5th?
- A. Yes.

Q. What interaction did you have with Ms. Strickland after July 5th?

- A. Very little. The next week I was at a training all week, um, so we exchanged e-mails about a plea hearing for a mutual client that she needed to cover, since I was out of the office. After that I think she may have ridden past me in the park one morning. I believe on one occasion I walked into someone's office and she was behind the door, and I didn't see her, and we exchanged pleasantries. And then I did not, um -- I didn't have any substantive interaction with her, um, until after our management meeting on July 20th.
  - Q. What happened at the management meeting on July 20th?
  - A. That meeting was basically, you know, we were trying to figure out what to do, "we" meaning the management team, we were discussing what to do about some open spots, um, because the Research and Writing attorney who had been working on my team, um, was leaving the office.

It was determined that we --

MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.

THE COURT: Well we'll stop it at that point and Ms. McMahon can question him.

Q. What was decided about which Research and Writing

```
attorneys were assigned to which teams, um, at the
1
 2
     management meeting?
           It was determined for the short term, um, while we
     Α.
     were hiring another person, that the two remaining
 4
 5
     Research and Writing attorneys would each have to cover
     two teams. One of them, Jared Martin, was already
 6
     effectively doing that, um, because he was covering
8
     research and writing for the Asheville trial team as
     well as on his own. And so it was determined that
 9
10
     Ms. Strickland would cover the Adolph team that she was
11
     already assigned to as well as mine for now.
12
           And what happened after the management meeting?
13
           Um, I e-mailed Ms. Strickland on July 22nd, asking
14
     to meet with her to discuss her role on my team and her
15
     workflow and basically, you know, how best to structure,
16
     um, her working on my team.
17
           MS. McMAHON: Mr. Spears, can you please display
     Exhibit FH.
18
19
            (On screen.)
20
     Q.
           Mr. Davis, do you recognize this document?
           I do.
21
     Α.
           What is it?
22
     Q.
23
           It's my July 22nd, 2018 e-mail to Ms. Strickland
     Α.
24
     that I was just discussing.
25
           MS. McMAHON: Your Honor, I'd like to move this
```

```
exhibit into evidence.
1
 2
           THE COURT: No objection?
 3
           MS. STRICKLAND: No objection. Thank you.
           THE COURT: It may be admitted. It will be
 4
 5
     Exhibit 178.
           (Exhibit 178, marked.)
 6
           Mr. Davis, what happened after you sent
     Q.
8
     Ms. Strickland this e-mail?
 9
           I believe the next day Ms. Strickland called in
     sick.
10
11
         And what happened after that?
     Q.
           On the following day, on July 24th, Mr. Martinez,
12
     um, texted me telling me not to --
13
14
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
15
           THE COURT: No, he gave instructions. He may tell
16
     us what instructions he was given.
17
           He contacted me, telling me to have no further
     contact with Ms. Strickland, um, until further notice
18
19
     from him.
20
           What contact did you have with Ms. Strickland
21
     after Mr. Martinez reached out to you on July 24th?
22
           Um, nothing substantive. I believe, um, we might
23
     have passed in the hall once, I believe. She was cc'd
24
     on some reply-alls and the person who sent it to me had
25
     cc'd her. And I believe we were on one, or possibly
```

```
two, appellate moots together. And that was it.
 1
            Did Ms. Strickland call into those moots?
 2
     Q.
           Yes, she did.
     Α.
            When did they take place?
 4
 5
     Α.
            Um, I believe the late fall and possibly November,
 6
     December, somewhere in there.
            Did you have any conversations with Ms. Strickland
     Q.
8
     after July 24th?
9
     Α.
           No.
10
            What was your involvement in decisions about
11
     Ms. Strickland's pay after July 24th, 2018?
12
     Α.
           None.
13
            What was your involvement in decisions about
14
     promoting Ms. Strickland after July 24th?
15
            None.
     Α.
            What authority did you have at any point to change
16
     Q.
17
     Ms. Strickland's pay?
            None, that was up to the Defender.
18
     Α.
19
            What authority did you have at any point to
     0.
20
     promote Ms. Strickland?
21
     Α.
            None, that was also up to the Defender.
            (Pause.)
22
23
           MS. McMAHON: Thank you, that's it for the
```

Thank you.

24

25

government.

THE COURT:

```
Do you wish to examine this witness,
1
     Ms. Strickland, or Mr. Strickland?
 2
 3
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor, I do.
            THE COURT: And you may -- you may inquire.
 4
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
 7
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STRICKLAND:
8
           Mr. Davis, you testified on direct about being
     Q.
     selected as First Assistant in 2015, do I have that
9
10
     right?
11
     Α.
           That's correct.
12
           When you were selected to be First Assistant, had
     you ever served as First Chair on a criminal jury trial?
13
14
           Yes, I had First-Chaired two criminal jury trials
15
     at that point and then tried with trial counsel on, I
     believe, 5 or 6.
16
17
           As of August 2017, had you served as First Chair
     on those two criminal jury trials?
18
19
            I'm sorry, as of what date?
     Α.
20
     Q.
           August 2017.
21
     Α.
           Yes.
22
           Can you please identify those cases?
     Q.
23
           Yes, I was First-Chair on -- do you want all the
     Α.
24
     cases or just the ones I was First Chair on?
25
            The cases you were First Chair on.
     Q.
```

- A. It was United States vs. Mario Taylor, which I
  believe would have been January of 2014. And United

  States vs. Darius Freeman, which might have been, um -I don't actually remember the date, but maybe May of
  2014.
  - Q. Thank you, Mr. Davis.

I believe you testified earlier that one of the first projects I was assigned to work on was a large sophisticated white-collar case, is that right?

A. That's correct.

6

- Q. Would you agree that large white-collar cases can be some of the most difficult and complex cases that an FDO handles?
- 14 A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. And you testified earlier that I assisted you in drafting the sentencing memorandum in that case, is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. And I traveled with you to conduct witness interviews in that case, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Did I assist you in preparing for the witness
- 23 interviews in that case?
- 24 A. Um, I believe so. I don't have a very clear
- 25 memory of that. But probably.

```
Q.
           Did I meet with the client in that case, to your
1
     recollection?
 2
           Yes.
     Α.
           (Pause.) Did you state in an e-mail to me, dated
 4
 5
     June 12th, 2018, "Great, thanks for diving in, I'm sorry
     this is happening very last-minute. You were right
 6
     earlier that it is a lot. I'm feeling a touch
8
     overwhelmed myself"?
           I don't know. You'd have to show me to refresh my
9
10
     recollection.
11
           Would it refresh your recollection to review a
12
     document?
13
     A. It would.
14
          (Shows.)
     Q.
15
           MS. STRICKLAND: All right, let's scroll down so
16
     we can review the entire document. (Scrolls.) All
17
     right, stop right there.
           Are you familiar with this document?
18
19
           MS. McMAHON: Objection, your Honor, this has not
20
     been identified as an exhibit by either party.
           MS. STRICKLAND: May I be heard?
21
22
           THE COURT: Well you may use it to refresh his
23
     recollection, but that's all. And we probably should
     mark it for identification.
24
25
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: What will the next letters be?
 1
 2
           MS. STRICKLAND:
                             HA.
 3
           THE COURT: All right, we'll mark it HA for
     identification. You may use it to refresh his
 4
 5
     recollection, if it does.
           MS. McMAHON: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
 7
     Q.
           Does this refresh your recollection about whether
8
     you stated, in an e-mail to me dated June 12th, 2018,
     "Great, thanks for diving in, I'm sorry this is
9
10
     happening very last-minute. You were right earlier that
11
     it is a lot. I'm feeling more than a touch overwhelmed
12
     myself"?
13
           Yes.
     Α.
14
           And does this appear to be about the large white-
     Q.
15
     collar case?
           I believe that it is, but I'm not certain.
16
     Α.
17
           I understand it's redacted, but --
     Q.
           MS. STRICKLAND: And can you scroll up to -- okay.
18
19
           (Scrolls.)
20
           And did you also say to me, in an e-mail dated
     June 13th, 2018, "You're not really selling the whole 'I
21
     can't handle things at the last minute' line, " you know?
22
23
           Um, yes.
     Α.
24
     Q.
           Okay. Thank you.
25
           Mr. Davis, did you request to be my mentor?
```

```
Um, I believe that I probably did. Um,
 1
     Α.
 2
     Mr. Martinez assigned me, um, to handle your training
     from the beginning, um, but I believe that became a
     mentorship around December in that area. I believe that
 4
 5
     I probably did, because I thought we already had that
 6
     relationship, um, and if you agreed to it.
     Q.
           Okay, thank you.
8
           And you testified that part of the mentoring
     relationship was shadowing activities, is that correct?
 9
10
           Um, I think that was larger than the mentoring
11
     relationship, um, but certainly that was a component of
12
          I think the shadowing was not just a mentoring
13
     thing, that was about you being experienced enough to
14
     handle the cases.
15
           Mr. Davis, did you tell me, in an e-mail dated
     November 22nd, 2017, that "Substantive work always takes
16
17
     priority over shadowing activities"?
           Um, I would have to see the e-mail, but that
18
19
     sounds -- that sounds quite likely.
20
           Would you like to have -- would it refresh your
     recollection to review a document?
21
22
           It might.
     Α.
23
     Q.
           Okay.
24
            (Pause.)
25
           MS. McMAHON: Objection, your Honor, this is
```

```
another e-mail that hasn't been marked for
1
     identification.
 2
           THE COURT: We'll mark it HB for identification.
 3
     She may use it only to refresh his recollection.
 4
 5
            (Shows to witness.)
           Does this refresh your recollection as to whether
 6
     you stated that "Substantive work always takes priority
8
     over a shadowing or training activity"?
           Um, if I used those words? I would have to see
9
     Α.
10
     the remainder of the document.
11
           Sure, I'm happy to scroll through it. (Scrolls.)
     Q.
12
           (Looks.) Can you scroll back, um, again?
     Α.
13
           (Scrolls.)
     Q.
14
           (Reads.) I stated in this that "I would like for
     Α.
15
     you to come with me for shadowing training purposes, but
16
     substantive work always takes priority," yes.
17
     Q.
           Thank you.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Admitted Exhibit 5.
18
19
     I'm on Page 44, I believe. It's Bates number 1276 --
20
     no, that's not right. It might be in the, um -- take a
21
     look at -- oh, yes. Yes, that's right.
22
           (On screen.)
23
           And we're happy to scroll through this page, but
     Q.
24
     are you familiar with this document?
25
           Um, yes, I am.
     Α.
```

- 1 Q. Is this an e-mail from you to me?
- 2 A. Um, this -- this is part of an e-mail chain -- and
- 3 you're referring specifically to the one that's on the
- 4 screen?
- $5 \mid Q$ . Um, yes.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And is this e-mail dated March 13th, 2018?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. Did you state in this e-mail, "No worries, we'll
- 10 find another one. Also, I'm sure you know this, you
- 11 don't have to do these shadowing things with me, just
- 12 let me know if you do something with someone else so I
- 13 can check it off your list"?
- 14 A. Yes, this is the e-mail I referred to earlier that
- 15 you forwarded to me, um, where I discussed that you
- 16 | didn't have to do the activities with me, you could do
- 17 them with other people.
- 18 Q. Did you e-mail me, on May 29th, 2018, about
- 19 shadowing a presentence interview?
- 20 A. Um, I'm sorry, what was the date again?
- 21 Q. May 29th, 2018.
- 22 A. Um, one of the -- that seems around the time that
- 23 | I would have, but again I would have to see it to be
- 24 sure.
- 25 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to review a

```
document?
 1
 2
     Α.
           It might.
     Q.
           Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, go ahead and scroll through
 4
          Oh, I'm sorry, I guess we'll have to mark this.
 5
     it.
     This is also for refreshing recollection.
 6
           THE COURT: We'll mark it as HC.
8
           MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
           (Shows.) Are you familiar with this document?
     Q.
10
           Yes, this is the document that -- this is the
11
     e-mail where I, um, basically arranged the presentence
12
     interview I had referred to earlier around your
13
     schedule.
14
           Did you state in this e-mail, "It might be good
15
     for you to sit through another of these, if you can. I
     know it's not exciting, but it will show you what the
16
17
     dynamic is like doing them at the jail"?
     A. Yes, in addition to asking you if the dates worked
18
19
     for you.
20
           Is it fair to say that this e-mail reflects that I
21
     had already shadowed a presentence interview in the
     past?
22
23
           My recollection is that you had shadowed one that
     was done with the client on bond, which is, you know, a
24
25
     substantively different experience than doing it in the
```

jail where the prisoners are. 1 Do the words "might," and "if you can" indicate 2 3 that this shadowing activity was optional? Α. No. 4 5 No, the words "might" and "if you can," your testimony is that those words do not indicate that this 6 shadowing activity was optional? 8 MS. McMAHON: Objection, asked and answered. THE COURT: No, she may press the point. He may 9 10 answer. (Silence.) He may answer. 11 And your answer, sir? It was "No." The -- when I sent the e-mail, um, I 12 13 was asking, once I've already set the activity, that it 14 was not optional at that point. But like I said 15 earlier, um, I tended to be flexible about these things. 16 The issue is not that this specific presentence 17 interview was one that you needed -- desperately needed 18 to go to, the issue, at the time that I told you to go 19 to it, is that I already had it and you had already said 20 that you would, and then you returned and said that you 21 would not, um, without attempting to discuss that with 22 me. 23 But just to be clear, when similar, um, Q.

occurrences had happened in the past, you had said

things like "Don't worry, we'll find you another one" or

24

```
allowed a rain-check, correct?
1
           I don't believe that those occurrences were
 2
 3
     similar.
           I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer?
 4
 5
     Α.
           I don't believe that those occurrences were
 6
     similar.
           Just to be clear, you did not say, in an e-mail
     Q.
8
     dated March 13th, 2018, "No worries, we'll find another
     one?"
9
10
           I did in that e-mail, yes.
     Α.
11
          Okay, thank you.
     Q.
12
           I do not think that was similar to this.
13
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's move on to Admitted Exhibit
14
     5, please, page -- the page numbers aren't going to be
15
     right, but this should be 27. Yes, that's okay. I just
     want to -- we've talked about this at an earlier time.
16
17
           (On screen.)
           I believe you testified earlier that you sent me
18
     Q.
19
     an e-mail on May 23rd -- I'm sorry, May 18th, 2018,
20
     entitled "Mas dinero," is that right?
21
     Α.
           Yes.
22
           And does that mean more money?
     Q.
23
           Yes.
     Α.
24
           And does that e-mail state, to your recollection,
25
     "Dude, you're shooting high with a G-15, not least of
```

```
all since you'll need 5 more years of fed service to
1
     qualify for it. So fret not, I have a plan. Just
 2
     remember I deal in pay for stay"?
           Oh, yeah, that's my recollection. Again, I
 4
 5
     testified that I -- well that's certainly pretty close.
           Mr. Davis, when you referred to "GS 15," are you
 6
     referring to Grade 15 on the General Service schedule
8
     for federal employees?
           I believe so.
9
     Α.
10
           Mr. Davis, did you admit to the EDR investigator
11
     that the "mas dinero" e-mail was "a stupid e-mail to
12
     send"?
13
           No, I did not.
     Α.
14
           If the investigation report states that you
     Q.
15
     admitted to the EDR investigator that the "mas dinero"
     e-mail was "a stupid e-mail to send," do you have any
16
17
     reason to doubt the accuracy of that?
           Yeah, I believe I said it was a "stupid joke,"
18
19
     that it wasn't a very good joke.
20
           But you did say it was "stupid"?
           Um, I don't remember the word that I used. But it
21
     Α.
22
     is possible. It certainly was not a good joke.
23
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to admitted Exhibit 9,
24
     Page 33.
25
            (On screen.)
```

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 2 A. Yes, I am.

1

20

23

- Q. And is this a chain of text messages between you
- 4 and Erin Taylor?
- 5 A. Yes, it appears to be.
- Q. And you testified earlier that Erin Taylor was also a trial team leader at the FDO, is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Did you tell Erin Taylor that I "may just need to get smacked a bunch"?
- 11 A. Um, are you asking me what the words say or are
  12 you asking me what I was stating?
- Q. I didn't hear what you just said, but I'm asking you did you tell Erin Taylor that I "may just need to get smacked a bunch"?
- A. Um, I told Erin Taylor that I was going to try and talk to you, um, based on your issues, and give you a chance to turn around, um, but you may need to be -
  just need to get "smacked a bunch," though meaning in

that context that, um, you may -- because of the issues

- that you were having, it may be the only way, um, that you would learn that you were having problems, um, for

those to play out.

- 24 THE COURT: Well I'm not sure what --
- 25 Q. But you were --

THE COURT: No, wait. Wait. Let me ask him.

Sir, what did you mean by that when you said it? THE WITNESS: What I meant, um, is that in the context of that Ms. Strickland had been having issues, um, with supervisors and with others, um, and this particularly I believe was right after she sent, um, that e-mail to Mr. Martinez, um, and he had indicated, um, basically a desire to meet with her, um, to essentially -- I think his words were "nip the whining in the bud," um, so what I meant by this was I would have wanted to meet with her -- as referring to the coping skills meeting there, to basically give her an opportunity to get through this. But that it was possible that the only way that she was going to learn, you know, was if she actually continued to do this and it continued to have the negative repercussions.

THE COURT: I see.

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Basically this was a private conversation with Ms. Erin Taylor and myself and it was colloquial.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Strickland.

MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you, your Honor.

Q. Mr. Davis, do you believe that it's appropriate to talk about hitting a female subordinate with another FDO

```
supervisor?
 1
           I was not discussing hitting you, or anyone.
 2
           But to be clear, you did say that I may just need
     to get "smacked a bunch"?
 4
 5
           That is a colloquial. This is in a private
     conversation with a friend of mine and another
 6
     supervisor, it was not intended to refer to physical
8
     violence.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's turn to Admitted Exhibit
10
     38, please. (On screen.) On Page 9.
11
           (On screen.)
12
           Are you familiar with this document?
     Q.
13
           Um, yes.
     Α.
14
           Is this a chain of text messages between you and
     Q.
15
     Erin Taylor?
16
         Yes, and that appears to be dated June 6th of
17
     2018.
           Did you tell Erin that I need "to get slapped"?
18
19
           I believe this was -- can you scroll over?
20
     (Scrolls.) Yes, this was in reference to the, um -- to
21
     your -- both your e-mail to me and the fact of -- and
22
     your conduct on the Dixon case, um, and basically I was
23
     telling Ms. Taylor that you needed a wake-up call.
           But to be clear, what you told her is that I
24
25
     "needed to get slapped"?
```

Those were the words that I used in the private Α. 1 2 conversation, but the meaning of them was that you 3 needed a wake-up call. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 Mr. Davis, did you have a meeting with me on June 6th, 2018 about the **Dixon** case? 6 7 On June 6th of 2018? I'm not sure. About the 8 Dixon case? Around June 6th, about that time range. 9 10 About the Dixon case? I'm not sure that I did. Α. 11 If you told the EDR investigator that you had a 12 meeting with me in which you were very upset and 13 controlling, do you have any reason to doubt the 14 accuracy of those notes? 15 MS. McMAHON: Objection, misstates evidence in the 16 record. 17 THE COURT: Yes, I'm not so sure that is accurate. Let's ask the question again. 18 19 MS. STRICKLAND: I'm sorry, your Honor, I didn't 20 hear that? 21 THE COURT: Ask the question again, counsel. Ms. McMahon states that it misstates the record, and I 22 23 think it does. So ask the question again.

So if the EDR investigator's note states,

"Admitted to being very upset and controlling with

24

```
regard to the Dixon case," do you have any reason to
1
     doubt the accuracy of those notes?
 2
 3
           MS. McMAHON: The same objection.
           THE COURT: No, overruled.
 4
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: May I be heard?
 6
           Oh, okay. Thank you.
 7
     Α.
           Oh, yes, I do. Um, I believe I told the
8
     investigator that I was very upset, that I was
     controlling myself.
 9
10
           I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
11
           THE COURT: He said he --
12
           What I told the investigator was that I was very
     Α.
13
14
           THE COURT: He said that he was very upset, but he
15
     was controlling himself, that's his testimony here
16
     today.
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, sure, sure, that's his
     testimony here today.
18
19
           Mr. Davis, is it your understanding that you were
20
     counseled on workplace conduct issues and professional
21
     communications via e-mail?
           I was counseled on, um, a series of e-mails.
22
     Α.
23
           Is it your understanding that the investigator
     Q.
24
     said your profanity was not directed at anyone as it was
25
     simply used in a sentence?
```

```
That sounds -- again I can't say that that's the
1
     Α.
     exact wording, um, unless I see a document. But that
 2
 3
     sounds right.
     Q. You don't have any reason to doubt that, is that
 4
 5
     right?
           I mean if you want me to say that's what it said,
 6
     you can show me the document. But that sounds right.
8
     Q. Okay, thank you.
 9
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's look at Admitted Exhibit 9,
     on Page 6.
10
11
           (On screen.)
12
     Q. Are you familiar with this document?
13
     A. Yes, I am.
14
           Is this a text message from you to Erin Taylor?
     Q.
15
           Um, yes, I believe this is following the July 5th
     Α.
16
     meeting.
17
          Did you say that I was a "lying, manipulative,
     underhanded jackass"?
18
19
           I said that you did not get to the important point
20
     of the meeting, um, which is that you should not be a
21
     "lying, manipulative, underhanded jackass."
           So you said that I was a "lying, manipulative,
22
     Q.
23
     underhanded jackass"?
           MS. McMAHON: Objection, the document speaks for
24
```

25

itself.

```
THE COURT: The document does speak for itself.
1
 2
            (Pause.)
 3
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, um, let's turn to Page 39.
           (On screen.)
 4
 5
     Q.
           Are you familiar with this document?
 6
           Yes, again, these are more private texts between
     me and Ms. Taylor.
8
           And did you say that I was "acting like a spoiled
     brat"?
9
10
           In this text? Yes.
     Α.
11
     Q.
           Okay.
12
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's go to Page 2.
13
           (On screen.)
14
           Did you tell Erin Taylor, "No, you're right, I'm
     Q.
15
     legit done, just depressed at all the time and emotional
16
     energy I've spent trying to lift her up. But she isn't
17
     the person I was trying to lift. Jesus, that read like
     a break-up text"?
18
19
           Um, I -- those are the words written in my, um,
20
     reference to "reading like a break-up text," is that the
     first text sounded more serious than I admitted.
21
     Q. So you did say "Jesus, that read like a break-up
22
     text"?
23
24
     Α.
           Yes, that I wrote that. Yeah.
25
     Q.
           Okay.
```

```
MS. STRICKLAND: Let's go to Admitted Exhibit 8.
1
 2
           (On screen.)
 3
           And I'm happy to scroll through it, but I will ask
     Q.
     you, are you familiar with this document?
 4
 5
     Α.
           Yes, I am.
 6
     Q.
           Is this an e-mail to yourself dated June 6th,
 7
     2018?
8
           Yes, it is.
     Α.
           Is the subject line, "CD Events"?
9
     Q.
10
     Α.
           Yes.
11
          And by "CD" you're referring to me?
     Q.
12
          Um, that's correct.
     Α.
13
     Q.
           Okay. Do these notes state that "Caryn is self-
14
     centered and personal-goal Oriented"?
15
           Um, yeah, that is one of the things that's on
16
     here. Yes.
17
     Q. Okay.
           MS. STRICKLAND: And go ahead and scroll down.
18
19
     (Scrolls.) Okay.
20
           Do these notes state, "She thought I was
21
     sufficiently wrapped around her little finger, that I
     wouldn't resist."
22
23
           Um, the full bullet point is you'd "seemed
24
     surprised at my reaction. I now believe that you were
25
     telling the truth, that you didn't mean for it to come
```

You felt you could air it with me because you 1 thought I was sufficiently wrapped around your little 2 finger that I would not resist." Do these notes state, "Also demanded more money"? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Do these notes state "veiled threats about moving up to an AFD"? 8 "With a supposed offer letter stating that she Α. would move up to an AFD in a few months after starting," 10 which was not accurate. 11 Mr. Davis, are you aware that there is a 12 derogatory gender stereotype about high-achieving 13 professional women being demanding and too overly 14 aggressive and assertive? MS. McMAHON: Objection, your Honor, relevance and 15 16 outside the scope of the direct testimony. 17 Well I'm not troubled with it being outside the Α. scope of the direct testimony. But, um --18 19 Why is that relevant, Ms. Strickland? 20 MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor. 21 My understanding of Mr. Davis's testimony is that

My understanding of Mr. Davis's testimony is that he is testifying that these are just legitimate management decisions and that I was the one that my conduct was a problem. I believe that I'm entitled to explore whether it was really my conduct that was the

22

23

24

```
problem or whether it was gender-based discrimination
 1
 2
     and sexual harassment that was the problem.
 3
     believe that it's directly relevant to the questions
     that he was asked on direct examination.
 4
 5
           THE COURT: So your point -- No, I get your point.
 6
     So you're asking him if he's aware of that stereotype?
 7
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes.
 8
           THE COURT: And you may.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Thank you.
 9
10
           THE COURT: Are you aware of that stereotype, sir?
11
           THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you repeat the
12
     question?
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, of course.
13
14
           Mr. Davis, are you aware that there is a
     Q.
15
     derogatory gender stereotype about high-achieving women
16
     being demanding and overly assertive?
17
           Um, I am aware that that is an issue that's been
     Α.
18
     said before, yes.
19
           Okay. Are you aware that there is a derogatory
20
     gender stereotype about high-achieving women being self-
     sufficient, self-centered?
21
           No, I'm not.
22
     Α.
23
           Are you aware that there is a derogatory gender
     Q.
24
     stereotype of high-achieving professional women being
25
     conniving and not deserving what they've achieved?
```

MS. McMAHON: Objection, your Honor, relevance. 1 2 THE COURT: No, she may press these things to see 3 if he agrees with those stereotypes, agrees that those stereotypes exist in the workplace. Overruled. You may 4 5 answer. Um, conniving and what was the latter part? 6 Α. Well just use the word "manipulative"? Q. 8 No, I'm not. Α. 9 MS. STRICKLAND: Scroll down a little bit. (Scrolls.) Okay. 10 11 Do your notes say that I -- or "has been avoiding 12 me and difficult to talk to," in that first bullet point 13 on the page? 14 Um, I noted that you "raised numerous complaints," 15 and this was when we were walking -- on the 29th when 16 you invited me to go for a walk, "raised numerous 17 complaints and issues with the First Chair's work after 18 approximately 2 days on the case, and in fairness they 19 appear warranted, that you were very open with me about 20 it until I told you that you should be prepared to get 21 off the case. You seemed to acknowledge and believe 22 that, but got very distant and disengaged very quickly. 23 And has been avoiding me and difficult to talk to ever 24 since -- ever since I made that comment about you."

Regardless of --

25

Q.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Strickland. Excuse me.

Mr. Davis, what was the occasion of your preparing
these notes?

THE WITNESS: These were notes that I prepared to myself the morning after Ms. Strickland had e-mailed me, um, stating that, um -- about the discovery review where she stated, um, that it had been set, that it's the only other time -- it's the only time other people could do it. And, um, that morning, um, basically that, um, as I think I've testified earlier, I had been giving her a lot of the benefit of the doubt before that and that changed my opinion about whether I should be giving her the benefit of the doubt.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: So I prepared these for myself to document things that had happened up to that date.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Go ahead, Ms. Strickland.

- Q. Regardless of the reason why, um, these notes state that you were aware that I have been avoiding you and was difficult to talk to, is that correct?
- A. Um, based on the fact that I told you that you should be prepared to get off the case after the -- after I made that comment, for that "You had been avoiding me and difficult to talk to," but not before

```
that.
1
     Q. So going down to, I believe it's the 5th bullet
 2
     point, does it say "Clearly does not want to interact
     with me"?
 4
           (Pause.) I'm sorry, I believe that's true, but
 5
 6
     I'm having trouble finding it.
           It's at the very bottom.
     Q.
8
           Oh, okay, yes. But this only started after I
9
     questioned your place in the Dixon case.
10
          Was this after the May 18th, 2018 "mas dinero"
11
     e-mail as well?
12
           Um, no, you did not start avoiding me until, um --
13
     after the "mas dinero" e-mail, we went on that walk
14
     where you were very open and, um, you were very
15
     friendly, after the "mas dinero" e-mail, but before --
16
     it only happened, um -- and it may have only changed
17
     when, um, the time when I believed Tony would take you
     off the case.
18
19
           Yeah, I understand. I'm just asking, that these
20
     notes are dated June 6th, is that correct?
21
           MS. STRICKLAND: And you can go to the top if he
     needs to review it.
22
23
           (Looks.) Yes.
     Α.
24
           Okay. And the e-mail dated May 18th, 2018, was
25
     before June 6th, is that right?
```

- 1 A. No, that's correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified earlier that
- 3 May 18th, 2018 was the last mentoring lunch, is that
- 4 | accurate?
- 5 A. That's the last -- do you mean it was the last one
- 6 that we had or it was going to be the last one?
- 7 Q. I believe you testified that "It was the last one
- 8 that we had," is that accurate?
- 9 A. Yeah, that's right, that's the last meeting we
- 10 had.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that you sent me
- 12 an e-mail on June 1st, 2018 and offered me a drink, is
- 13 that correct?
- 14 A. No, I did not, I testified I sent you an e-mail,
- um, where I offered you "a drink and an ear," if you
- don't think it's set, "if you need one," um, and then
- 17 referred you to Mary Ellen.
- 18 Q. So you offered "a drink and an ear"?
- 19 A. "If you need one."
- 20 Q. Okay. Did I agree to go for a drink with you?
- 21 A. Um, I wasn't asking you to, so you didn't respond
- 22 to that.
- 23 Q. I didn't respond to that, is that correct?
- 24 A. Well I mean you responded to that e-mail.
- 25 Q. Did I respond and say I wanted to go for a drink

```
with you?
           You didn't. No, you did not.
 2
 3
         Okay, thank you.
     Q.
           And you asked me for a "celebratory drink" on June
 4
 5
     19th, 2018, is that correct?
           No, that is not correct.
 6
     Α.
           MS. STRICKLAND: Um, let's bring up Admitted
8
     Exhibit 3. (On screen.) No, wait, I'm sorry, Page 39.
9
     I'm sorry. No, wait, Bates Number 91. Okay. Now go
10
     back a few pages. (On screen.) Okay, and scroll down.
11
     (Scrolls.)
12
           Did you say, "I'm going to get this filed and get
     a celebratory drink, you're welcome to join me"?
13
14
           But if I recall correctly, yeah, it's --
     Α.
15
           Right, is that what you said?
     Q.
16
     Α.
           Yeah.
17
           Did I agree to go for a drink with you?
18
           Again I was not asking you to go, but you, um, as
     Α.
19
     you just stated, did not.
20
           But you said you were "getting a celebratory drink
21
     and you're welcome to join me"?
22
     Α.
           Correct.
23
           Okay. And did you offer to give me a ride home on
     Q.
     June 21st, 2018?
24
25
           Yes.
     Α.
```

- Q. Did I agree to take a ride home from you?
- 2 A. You did not.
- 3 | Q. Did you wait for me in the lobby?
- 4 A. I did not. I waited to see if you would respond
- 5 to my text message, but I was not waiting for you.
- Q. Were you in the lobby when I came down from the
- 7 | elevator?

- 8 A. Yes, but I was not expecting you to come down at
- 9 that time.
- 10 Q. But did you send me a text message stating "Last
- 11 chance for a ride, tough girl"?
- 12 A. Um, yes, um, mirroring your language, um, from
- about 5 minutes before I sent it, I did. But that was
- 14 before you came down into the lobby.
- 15 Q. Did I call myself a "girl" when I was talking to
- 16 you?
- 17 A. No, you said "I'm tough."
- 18 Q. Do you think It's appropriate to refer to your
- 19 female colleague as a "girl"?
- 20 A. I think "tough girl" is like "tough guy," I think
- 21 it's a colloquialism and I don't think there's anything
- 22 wrong with it.
- 23 Q. So you don't think that's inappropriate?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. Did you e-mail me on June 19th, 2018 and ask for

another "mentoring session"? 1 Um, I'm not -- yeah, I think I -- I'm sorry, give 2 3 me the date again? Judge 29th, 2018. 4 5 Α. I'm not sure that that date is correct. MS. STRICKLAND: Um, we can look at the -- I can't 6 -- yeah, go ahead and scroll up. (Scrolls.) No, that's 8 the wrong one. Let's go to the next page. (Scrolls.) Yes, okay. 9 10 Did you say, "I think it would be good for us to 11 have another mentoring session"? 12 Oh, yes, on June 27th, 2018. 13 Oh, I apologize, I have the date wrong. June 14 27th. 15 Right. Α. Did you suggest that "It might good to have some 16 17 distance from work"? Yes, I did. 18 Α. 19 Did I respond to your e-mail and state that I 20 would prefer to meet in the office? You did. 21 Α. Q. Did we reschedule this meeting for the following 22 23 Monday?

Did you state, "I am free all day including early

24

25

Α.

Yes.

```
morning, lunch, and evening"?
 1
 2
           Um, well can you show me the -- so I can see the
 3
     context of it. I believe that's right, but I would like
     to see the context.
 4
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: Up one, please.
 6
            (On screen.)
 7
           Did you say "I am free all day including early
     Q.
8
     morning, lunch, and evening"?
 9
            I believe I was responding to your text stating
     Α.
10
     that you had, um, some fun things that you had to do
11
     that day and asking me, you know, what times I was
12
     available or what times I was thinking about, and I was
13
     telling you basically "I'm free any time you want."
14
           Did you state "I am free all day including early
     Q.
15
     morning, lunch, and evening"?
16
            Yes, those were the words I wrote.
     Α.
17
           Were you at all concerned that you were not
18
     respecting my stated preference to meet in the office?
19
           No, not even a little bit, I was basically telling
20
     you that we can meet at any time you want.
21
     Ο.
           So you were not concerned?
22
     Α.
           No.
23
           MS. STRICKLAND: Okay, let's turn to Admitted
24
     Exhibit 11.
25
            (On screen.)
```

Um, and feel free to scroll through it and I'll 1 Q. ask you if you're familiar with this document? 2 (Looks.) Yes, I am. Α. Is this an e-mail that you sent Tony Martinez on 4 July 6th, 2018? 5 Yes, it is. 6 Α. Was this e-mail sent after a meeting you had with Q. 8 Tony and me on July 5th, 2018? Yes, it is. 9 Α. 10 Did you tell Tony Martinez --Q. 11 MS. STRICKLAND: And we'll probably need to scroll 12 down, yeah, it's a long e-mail, but, um, we'll find it. 13 (Scrolls.) 14 Did you tell Tony Martinez that "If this had been Q. 15 any other employee, I would have insisted they receive a 16 formal reprimand after deception and insubordination 17 this severe"? I believe that I did. Yes, I'd go along with --18 Α. 19 Ο. Did you say that any other employees would --20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. I apologize, I didn't mean to interrupt you. I was just reading it. Yes. 22 Α. 23 Okay. Did you say that "Any other employee would Q.

meeting last night"?

get chewed out and be well on their way to a PIP at the

24

- A. (Pause.) Um, "If this had been Caleb or Jeff King or most any other employee, I would never have permitted multiple instances of being out without leave. And then for teleworking, I would have expected to have to -
  (Inaudible.) audacity, lack of accountability, for them to get chewed out, and be well on their way to assess at
- 8 Q. Does a "PIP" mean a "Performance Improvement
  9 Plan"?
- 10 A. It does.
- 11 Q. Did you say, "I want to make sure that these

  12 incidents are not just written off as a mutual mistake

  13 or a personality conflict as I believe they need to be

  14 addressed during her annual performance review"?
- 15 A. I believe that I did, um -- yes.

the meeting last night, " yeah.

- Q. Did you say "We are considering making her an AFD for various reasons and I think we need to be serious about whether she actually lives up to that title at the moment"?
- 20 A. I wrote those words, yes.
- 21 Q. Just to be clear, at this time you reported to
- 22 Tony Martinez, is that correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. He was above you in the chain of command?
- 25 A. He was above everyone, yes.

```
1
     Q.
           So if he gave an order, um, were you required to
     respect that?
 2
     Α.
           Yes.
          Okay, thank you.
 4
     Q.
 5
           MS. STRICKLAND: Well let's turn to Trial Exhibit
 6
     16.
            (On screen.)
8
           Go ahead and scroll through and I'll ask you if
     Ο.
     you are familiar with this document?
10
           Um, yes, I am.
11
           Is this a chain of e-mails between you and Josh
     Q.
12
     Carpenter dated July 20th, 2018?
           I --
13
     Α.
14
           I'm sorry, I guess there's some on July 23rd, but
     Q.
15
     go ahead to the bottom.
16
     Α.
           Oh, yes. Yes.
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: No, wait, go ahead to the bottom.
           (On screen.)
18
19
     Α.
        Yes, it is.
20
     Q.
           Okay. So, yeah, let's start there.
21
           And Joshua Carpenter, just for the Court's
     benefit, was the Appellate Chief, correct?
22
           That's correct.
23
     Α.
           Did you tell Josh Carpenter, "My primary concern
24
25
     is that I am the only -- I am the only one who has
```

- communicated to Caryn that her actions, which were firable offenses, were in fact problematic"?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you tell Josh carpenter, "I feel a bit out in the wind here and I am going to have trouble working with her so long as I think she thinks she can lie to me and disobey me and no one will back me up"?
- 8 A. Yes.

14

- 9 Q. Did you tell Josh Carpenter, "If she chooses to continue the fuck-off attitude, then at least we know what we have"?
- 12 A. Um, yeah, I think that's in here somewhere. Um, 13 that's sounds accurate.
  - Q. Do you think that it's appropriate to use the words "fuck-off attitude" in a work setting?
- A. Um, this is a communication between myself and
  Mr. Carpenter. We had been friends for quite a long
  time and knew each other very well. I don't believe
  that informal language generally is a problem as long as
  the person that you're communicating to understands it.
- 21 Q. But to be clear, this is a work e-mail, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you participate in a management meeting on July 20th, 2018?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And was I assigned to your trial team as a result of that meeting?
- 3 A. Yes, and in addition to Peter Adolph.
- Q. Did you e-mail me on July 22nd, 2018 and request to meet with me alone about your trial team?
- A. Um, I don't believe I mentioned anything about being alone, but I did request to meet with you.
- 8 Q. Was anyone else invited to the meeting?
- 9 A. Um, I didn't send it to anyone else, but I
- 10 certainly didn't have an issue with anyone else
- 11 participating.
- 12 Q. Did I call in sick the next day?
- 13 A. You did.
- Q. Did you tell Josh Carpenter in an e-mail that my calling in sick was not coincidental?
- 16 A. Um, yeah, I believe it's in this chain -- if you want to scroll up you can see what I said.
- 18 Q. Yes.
- MS. STRICKLAND: Keep going. (Scrolls.) Yeah,
  there it is.
- 21 (On screen.)
- A. Yes. I mean that was the implication. Those are not the words I used, but that was the implication.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell Tony Martinez that you believed I was calling in sick because of your e-mail to

```
1
     me?
           Um, that you called in sick on the 23rd because of
 2
 3
     my e-mail to you? Yes.
            (Pause.)
 4
           MS. STRICKLAND: That's all I have. Thank you.
 5
           THE COURT: Any redirect?
 6
 7
           Have in mind now, even with the extension of time,
8
     that the government has an hour left.
 9
           Go ahead, Ms. McMahon.
           MS. McMAHON: Um, just one minute to discuss it
10
11
     with my colleagues?
12
           THE COURT: Of course.
13
           (Pause.)
14
           MS. McMAHON: No further questions from the
15
     government.
16
           THE COURT: Thank you.
17
           You may step down. Thank you.
            (Witness leaves.)
18
19
           THE COURT: Call your next witness.
20
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, the government calls
21
     William Moormann, and we're just going to fetch the
22
     witness. So just one moment, please.
23
            (Interruption.)
           MS. YOUNG: Yes, I'm sorry, it's Danielle Young.
24
25
                        And thank you. I do apologize that
           THE COURT:
```

```
we're at such a distance that I have to ask. Thank you,
 1
 2
     Ms. Young.
 3
            (Pause.)
            (WILLIAM MOORMANN, sworn.)
 4
 5
            * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
 6
 7
            WILLIAM MOORMANN
            *****
 8
 9
10
     DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. YOUNG:
11
            Good morning. State your name on the record.
     Q.
12
            William Moormann.
     Α.
13
            Thank you. Let's begin by having you tell us
     Q.
14
     about where you currently work, Mr. Moormann?
            I am the Administrative Officer with the Federal
15
     Public Defenders, the Western District of North
16
17
     Carolina. And I have been with the organization since
18
     2006 when it was a -- I was a Computer Systems
19
     Administrator at that time and I was converted to an
     Administrative Officer in 2013.
20
21
     Q.
            Thank you. Generally what are your
     responsibilities as an administrator -- sorry, the
22
     Administrative Officer at the FDO?
23
24
            I handle the functional side of the organization,
25
     processing paperwork, running the budgets, um,
```

- allocating the funds, all of the backroom work that the FDO office runs.
- Q. And what HR matters does the Defender rely on you for?
- A. The Defender relies on me for addressing actual actions, um, for reminders on times and dates to perform the, um, again the functional side of processing the HR work.
- 9 Q. When you work on processing HR actions for the 10 FDO, what other offices do you have to work with?
- 11 A. I work with predominantly the Defender Services
  12 Office and the Administrative Office of the US Courts.
- The HR actions actually go through the Administrative
- 14 Office of the US Courts.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Moormann, what role do you play
  for off-boarding, um, employees who are separating from
  the office?
- A. I am the person who collects physical items, keys, cards, um, handles the last items of when someone leaves
- Q. And are you familiar with the FDO operating
- 22 | manuals?

20

23 A. Yes, I am.

employment.

- 24 Q. And what was your role, if any, in drafting it?
- 25 A. I was one of the team of people who drafted the,

um, Employee Manual. 1 What is your understanding of what the Employee 2 3 Manual says about an employee's removal of confidential client information with them after they separate from 4 5 the FDO? MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, your Honor, relevance. 6 7 We renew our motion in limine regarding after-acquired 8 evidence. 9 THE COURT: The objection -- the manual is a document and the manual speaks for itself. 10 11 Is the manual in evidence? MS. YOUNG: The manual is not in evidence, your 12 Honor. No, it's not. 13 14 THE COURT: Well --15 MS. YOUNG: I'm just asking about his 16 understanding of office policy. 17 THE COURT: Well why is that relevant? what it suggests is that some confidential material was 18 19 removed and you're going to try to prove it through this 20 officer. Now that may be, but we need a manual here. 21 So I'm not clear how you're going to do it? But go ahead. 22 23 MS. YOUNG: I can revise the question. 24 think the issue of confidential information being

removed has already been addressed.

Q. Mr. Moormann, are employees -- is it your understanding that employees are permitted to remove confidential client information --

MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, your Honor. I apologize, I object again, this is an affirmative defense that was not raised in their answer, um, and it's not relevant.

THE COURT: Overruled. The objection -- well just a moment. Actually the objection is sustained, but not on those grounds, um, because he only knows this from reading a document.

Now does he know of anything that was removed that might be germane as to which argument can be made?

(Silence.)

THE COURT: Ms. Young, here's the line. The line is I'm not going into this business about the, um, they didn't raise it or you, the judiciary, didn't raise it as an affirmative defense, she has to prove her case both as to liability and as to damages. And so her conduct is very much at issue.

On the other hand, you've been assiduous in objecting when she has tried, Ms. Strickland, the plaintiff, has tried to, um, introduce documents, um, the three of them that I've allowed, um, for use in refreshing someone's recollection. You objected to

that. Now you come up with a document, apparently of some significance, that's nowhere referred to in the pretrial order and he knows -- he's the person who helped write that document, he knows what it says. But it's the document that sets the policy. It seems to me that without the document we're not going very far with this witness.

MS. YOUNG: Well I believe the policy existing is more than just the document, which is why I was trying to rephrase this to his understanding of the office policy on this issue.

THE COURT: Well it exists how? Where? The best evidence is the policy. I'm just following the rules of evidence when she objects, albeit not on the ground that she suggested.

MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, I believe it's also covered in the FDO manual in the code of conduct.

THE COURT: Well I can take judicial notice of those things. If you have them, let's see it.

MS. YOUNG: I'll move on, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can we please have, um,
Defendants's Exhibit ER.

(On screen.)

MS. YOUNG: And can you please scroll down.

```
(Scrolls.)
1
           Mr. Moormann, what is this set of documents?
 2
           These are SF-15s, "Notification of Personnel
     Α.
     Actions."
 4
 5
           And how are those entries generated?
           They are generated, um, through the Administrative
 6
     Office of the US Courts. This is a record of an action.
8
           And are they made and kept in the course of
     0.
     regular business?
 9
10
     Α.
          Yes.
11
         Are they routinely made?
     Q.
12
     Α.
          Yes.
13
           Are they made at or near the time of the event
     indicated in the document?
14
15
           Yes, they are.
     Α.
           And who enters the information into HRMS?
16
17
           I enter the information into the HRMS management
18
     system, um, as entering in as a request, and when it is
19
     acted upon by the AO, we get back the action, um, the
     Notification of Action.
20
21
           MS. YOUNG: Thank you, your Honor. Defendants
     move to admit Exhibit ER into evidence.
22
23
           THE COURT: No objection?
           MS. STRICKLAND: Um, no objection, your Honor.
24
25
                        It's received in evidence, Exhibit 179
           THE COURT:
```

```
in evidence.
1
 2
            You may proceed.
 3
            (Exhibit 179, marked.)
           MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can you please turn to
 4
 5
     ER-15 of this document.
 6
            (On screen.)
 7
     Α.
            (Turns.) Mr. Moormann, what was Ms. Strickland's
8
     starting salary at the FDO?
           Um, her starting salary was $94,000, um -- excuse
9
     Α.
     me, her starting salary was $101,949 per annum.
10
11
            Thank you. And how is that set, I mean like what
     grade and step?
12
13
           Um, so it's a Grade 14, Step 1.
     Α.
14
           And how was it determined that she was a Grade 14,
     Q.
15
     Step 1?
           Um, that was set as a level of experience in the
16
17
     Research and Writing role.
            Thank you. And why was Ms. Strickland not on the
18
     Q.
19
     AD scale at the time she joined the office?
20
            She was a Research and Writing Specialist, that is
21
     a grade-and-step position as opposed to the professional
22
     position of Assistant Federal Defender.
23
           MS. YOUNG: And, Mr. Spears, you would take down
24
     the exhibit. Thank you.
25
            (Takes down.)
```

- Q. Mr. Moormann, what was your involvement, if any, in reclassifying the Research and Writing position of AFPDs in office of 2018?
- A. So I was the person who drafted the request that
  went to the Defender Services office to request the
  action of a reclassification. I was also the person,
  slightly before me, doing the work to make sure that we
  would be allowed to reclassify employees into the AFD
  position from the Research and Writing position.
- Q. Was it to the Office's advantage to reclassify
  Research and Writing Specialists as AFPDs?
  - A. Not particularly, but it was no longer to our disadvantage. At one time we were very limited on how many AFDs we could have. That restriction was removed. And it's to the employee's advantage to be an AFD as opposed to a Research and Writing attorney.
- Q. Who also was involved in the reclassification decision?
- 19 A. Um, Mr. Martinez.
- Q. Anyone else in the Federal Defender's Office for the Western District of North Carolina?
- 22 A. No.

12

13

14

15

- Q. At the time what impact was the reclassification expected to have on the FDO's budget?
- 25 A. It was a revenue-neutral reclassification.

- Q. And why was it revenue-neutral?
- 2 A. We knew that we were in the CR and that any
- 3 changes that would be a change in pay would be refused,
- 4 so we would, um -- to do this action, we would have to
- 5 make it revenue-neutral, um, because of the CR.
- 6 O. And what is a "CR"?
- 7 A. A "Continuing Resolution," um, like what we're
- 8 going through right now, um, where Congress has not
- 9 approved the budget yet and we have to operate in a
- 10 limited fashion.
- 11 Q. And what was the -- I'm sorry, how long does the
- 12 | CR last for?

- 13 A. Um, it lasted until, um, March 23rd, I believe.
- 14 Q. Of what year?
- 15 A. That would have been in 2019.
- 16 Q. Thank you. What effect did the Continuing
- 17 Resolution have on the Office's finances and budgets
- 18 during that time?
- 19 A. Everything basically is frozen, um, you don't
- 20 really do anything until the CR is resolved.
- 21 Q. At the time of the conversion, what was your
- 22 understanding of whether Ms. Strickland had requested to
- 23 be converted to an AFPD?
- 24 A. It was my understanding that that was a request,
- 25 um, and was to be acted on quickly.

- Who else was reclassified, if anyone, at that Q. 1 2 time? A. Um, another Research and Writing attorney, Jared Martin. 4 5 Can you please walk me through what steps you took to reclassify both Ms. Strickland and Mr. Martin as 6 AFPDs? 8 A. A reclassification request document is put together, sent to the Defender Services Office, where it 9 10 is reviewed, and they determine whether or not we would 11 be allowed to reclassify an employee. 12 Q. And then what happens after they review and 13 approve the reclassification request? 14 A. Once they're approved, we then go and enter an 15 action into HRMS to, um, make the reclassification 16 occur. 17 And what is the resulting end of that process, what document results once it's completed? 18 19 Um, eventually the SF-50. Α. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can we please have Defendants's Exhibit HD. 22
- 23 (On screen.)
- 24 Q. Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Moormann?
- 25 A. Yes, this is an e-mail chain regarding the

```
reclassification.
1
          And what is the date on the first e-mail?
 2
        IJm −−
     Α.
           MS. YOUNG: Well go to the bottom. (Scrolls.)
 4
 5
     All right. Now scroll up a bit to the date. (On
 6
     screen.) Thank you.
     O. Now what is the date on the first e-mail on this
8
     chain?
9
           August 15th, 2018.
     Α.
10
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, the defendants move to
11
     admit Exhibit HD into evidence.
12
           THE COURT: No objection?
13
           MS. STRICKLAND: Your Honor, just for
14
     clarification, I'm not sure that this is on the exhibit
15
     list.
16
           MS. YOUNG: It is indeed on the list.
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: I'm sorry, which one?
           MS. YOUNG: HD.
18
19
           MS. STRICKLAND: HD? Just one moment. I'm sorry.
20
     We're trying to find it.
21
           (Pause.)
           MS. STRICKLAND: You said this was HB? If you
22
23
     would just point out the page number? We're just trying
24
     to find it.
25
           (Pause.)
```

```
THE COURT: Now really I think it's somehow
1
     peripheral, but I'm going to admit it subject to -- if
 2
     it's not on that list, I'll strike it.
           Exhibit 180 in evidence.
 4
 5
           Go ahead.
            (Exhibit 180, marked.)
 6
 7
           MS. YOUNG:
                        This was previously, I believe,
8
     Plaintiff's CU on her numbering system.
           THE COURT: I simply state parenthetically that
 9
     you would have been well-advised to have followed my
10
11
     order in this respect. It's unfortunate you did not.
12
           Go ahead.
13
           MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can we please have
14
     Defendants's Exhibit HB.
15
           (On screen.)
           Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Moormann?
16
     Ο.
17
        Yes, I am.
     Α.
           What is it?
18
     Q.
19
           It is the request to reclassify that we submitted
20
     for Ms. Strickland.
           And did you fill out this document?
21
     Q.
     A. Yes, I did.
22
23
           What was Ms. Strickland's grade and step at the
     Q.
     time of this conversion?
24
25
           Um, she was a 14-2.
     Α.
```

```
MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, defendants move to admit
1
     Exhibit HB into evidence.
 2
 3
           THE COURT: I've just admitted it, Exhibit 180.
           MS. YOUNG: This is a different document, your
 4
 5
     Honor.
           THE COURT: That was HD and now we're talking
 6
 7
     about HB, correct?
8
           MS. YOUNG: Correct.
           THE COURT: It's admitted --
9
10
           No objection, Ms. Strickland?
11
           MS. STRICKLAND: Um, I -- sorry, we're still
12
     trying to find it on the list.
13
           THE COURT: Well subject to your objection --
14
           MS. STRICKLAND: I don't think we have -- I'm
15
     sorry? Yeah, I don't think we have an objection to this
16
     one. Thank you.
17
           THE COURT: Very well, it's admitted 181.
           (Exhibit 181, marked.)
18
19
           THE COURT: And at that time she was Grade 14,
20
     Step 2, correct? Mr. Moormann?
21
           THE WITNESS: Yes.
22
           THE COURT: Thank you.
           Go ahead.
23
           MS. YOUNG: And, Mr. Spears, may we please have
24
25
     Defendants's HC.
```

```
(On screen.)
1
           Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Moormann?
 2
     Q.
     Α.
           Yes.
           And what is it?
 4
     Q.
 5
     Α.
           It is a request to reclassify Jared Martin.
           And just to be clear, this is an attachment to the
 6
     e-mail we were looking at a minute ago?
8
     Α.
           Yes.
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, we move to admit HC into
     evidence.
10
11
           THE COURT: No objection to that?
           MS. STRICKLAND: (Pause.) Um, again it doesn't
12
     appear to be in the exhibit list. But subject to that,
13
14
     um, we don't have any other objection.
15
           THE COURT: Fine, it's admitted subject to that.
     That's 182.
16
17
            (Exhibit 182, marked.)
           Mr. Moormann, when did you reach out to the DFO
18
     Q.
19
     about these conversion requests?
20
           Um, the process started on the, um, on the 15th
21
     with a couple of phone calls and then an e-mail to our
22
     budget analyst to clarify the process.
23
           And who instructed you to take action to process
     Q.
24
     the conversions?
25
           Um, that was Mr. Martinez.
     Α.
```

```
MS. YOUNG: And may we please have Defendants's
1
     EU, Mr. Spears. Yes, thank you.
 2
            (On screen.)
           Are you familiar with this document?
 4
     Q.
 5
     Α.
          Yes, I am.
           And what is it?
 6
     Q.
     Α.
           It was my initial request for a personnel action
8
     for the reclassification, um, to AFD, from Research and
     Writing, for Ms. Strickland.
9
10
           And did you fill out the form personally?
11
           I entered the form into the system, the engine
12
     writes the form, but, yes.
13
           And what is the request date on this form, um, in
14
     Part C?
15
           The request date was 8-16-2018.
     Α.
           And what was the effective date?
16
     Ο.
17
        8-20-2018.
     Α.
18
     Q.
           Thank you.
19
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, defendants move to admit
20
     Exhibit EU into evidence.
21
           THE COURT: No objection?
           MS. STRICKLAND: No objection.
22
23
           THE COURT: EU is admitted, Exhibit 183.
24
           (Exhibit 183, marked.)
25
           Mr. Moormann, what type of form is this?
     Q.
```

- 1 A. This is an AO-52, this is the form generated when a request is created.
- 0. And how that different from an SF-50?
- 4 A. The first one, the AO-52 is a request. The SF-50
- 5 is the document created when everything is completed.
  - Q. Why is August 20th the requested effective date?
- 7 A. That was the first beginning of a pay period after 8 this date.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can you please pull up ER
- 11 19.

- 12 (On screen.)
- 13 Q. Mr. Moormann, what is the document located at
- 14 Bates US 2889?
- 15 A. This is the SF-50, um, the Final Notification of
- 16 Personnel Action, processed by Heather Tindle Best, um,
- 17 performing the reclassification, but using the job code
- 18 pay adjustment.
- 19 Q. Who is Heather?
- 20 A. She is Chief of the Court, the HR Division.
- 21 Q. And why did she submit the request or submit the
- 22 form for you?
- 23 A. Um, there was -- in the process of merging there
- 24 was some confusion about how to -- which code to use
- 25 within the HRMS system. The code that I used was a

```
reclassification code. However, the system, because we
1
     were switching between a grade and step and an AD scale,
 2
 3
     did not process correctly, because they're two separate
     pay systems. So it had to be processed manually by the
 4
 5
     AO as a pay adjustment.
 6
     Q.
            Thank you. And who processed the form manually?
            Um, there was a discussion between myself and our
     Α.
8
     HR rep. The process was submitted by Valerie Farr.
9
            Thank you. And just to be clear, is this the
     Q.
10
     final SF-50 form for Ms. Strickland's conversion?
11
     Α.
           Yes.
12
           And what is the effective date?
     Q.
13
     Α.
           The effective date, again, is 8-20-2018.
14
           And what date was the SF-50 processed on?
     Q.
15
            The SF-50 was processed on the 28th.
     Α.
16
           Thank you.
     Q.
17
           MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can I please have
     defendants's EJ.
18
19
            (On screen.)
20
     Q.
           Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Moormann?
21
     Α.
           Yes, I am.
           And what is it?
22
     Q.
23
            It is the, um, e-mail chain between myself and
     Α.
24
     Valerie Farr discussing the challenge of doing a
```

reclassification within the HRMS system. And she was

```
letting me know that she would have to manually perform
1
 2
     it as it was a command that was not enabled down to my
     level.
           And who is Ms. Farr?
 4
 5
     Α.
           She was our Human Service Specialist, our Human
 6
     Resource Specialist for our office.
 7
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, defendants move to admit
8
     Exhibit EJ into evidence.
 9
           THE COURT: No objection to EJ?
10
           MS. STRICKLAND: It's hearsay.
11
           THE COURT: Well it is, but I don't know that this
     is disputed. I guess my major problem is, um, what's
12
13
     the relevance of this? I can see some peripheral
14
     relevance as to the timing of it, but why -- the e-mails
15
     back and forth between these two technicians, why is
16
     that -- and I mean no disrespect, but why is that
17
     relevant?
           MS. YOUNG: Well, your Honor, Ms. Strickland has
18
19
     claimed that some of the paperwork was backdated, but
20
     this was just the result of our team HR practice. And
21
     Mr. Moormann is explaining the timeline for the HR
22
     transactions that occurred and why they occurred and
23
     when they occurred.
           THE COURT: Yeah, overruled. It's admitted.
24
```

These are internal business records. So EJ is admitted,

```
Exhibit 184.
1
           (Exhibit 184, marked.)
 2
 3
           Okay. Mr. Moormann, is it unusual to have an
     Ο.
     effective date before the request date?
 4
 5
           An effective date before the request date is very
 6
     unusual. Typically the effective date is the next
     beginning of a payroll, when you begin an action.
8
           Is it unusual to have a form that's processed
     after the effective date?
 9
10
           It is very common.
     Α.
11
           And why is it common?
     Q.
12
           The system isn't particularly efficient. When we
13
     submit a request, it goes into the system and is
14
     reviewed and then processed when HR gets to it.
15
           Can you explain why the paperwork had to be
16
     processed manually? Like why did the paperwork have to
17
     be processed manually?
           MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, your Honor, asked and
18
19
     answered.
           MS. YOUNG: That's fine. I'll move on.
20
21
           THE COURT: All right.
22
     Q.
           Can you explain why there was a delay in the
23
     finalized processed form?
24
           The changing of grade and step to an AFD is not
25
     the most common process and it is a different more
```

- complicated, um, process within the HRMS system, and requires some interaction beyond my level of approval.
- Q. Turning back to Ms. Strickland's final SF-50. Why
  does her locality pay not appear in the locality pay box
- on her final SF-50 form?
- A. In the AD scale the pay is combined on the chart itself, or the pay chart, where it is still a base pay and a locality pay and also have a different pay rate based on the location. But it is considered one lump
- 10 sum at that time. They have since changed that process,
- 11 but up until 2021 it was always one lump sum.
- Q. Did Ms. Strickland lose locality pay during the conversion?
- 14 A. No, she did not.
- Q. What correspondence did you have with Mr. Martinez after he told you he'd like to initiate the process of
- 17 converting both R&Ws to AFPDs?
- A. Well there was a lot of conversation, it was, you know, to get it done as quickly as possible.
- Q. Did he give you any instructions about how to set
  Ms. Strickland's pay?
- A. Yes, he did want to make sure that there was no lowering of her pay.
- MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, hearsay.
- 25 THE COURT: No, that's --

Q. What was the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Did he give you instructions? An "instruction" is not asserted conduct, it is a verbal act.

Go ahead, Ms. Young.

- Q. Um, you can go ahead and answer the question, Mr. Moormann.
- 8 Mr. Martinez wanted to make sure that there was Α. 9 no, um, negative harm done to anyone and to make the 10 transfer across even though the AD level that 11 Ms. Strickland was set at was below the pay she was 12 currently getting, and to make sure that she retained 13 that pay, um, we do what's referred to as a "Red-Circle 14 rate," which means you can keep your pay even though the 15 scale had not been set up to it yet, the next action 16 would catch that rate up and it would not affect it 17 negatively going forward.
  - Q. What pay did Ms. Strickland receive after the conversion?
  - A. The exact same pay as she was receiving before the conversion. Again it was a revenue-neutral change.
- Q. And what did Mr. Martinez say, if anything, about backdating the conversions?
- 24 A. Absolutely nothing.
- Q. What was the final SF-50 AD pay level supposed to

be for Ms. Strickland when she was converted to AFPD? 1 2 Upon the review of the documents, we found that -or I found that her AD level should have been an AD-25, but I set it at the A-23 rate. That was my error. 4 5 When you say "upon review of the documents," are 6 you talking about at the time or at the time of the events or in preparation for your testimony today? 8 In preparation for my testimony. So at the time Ms. Strickland was converted to an 9 10 AFPD, was it your understanding she was an AD-23, is 11 that correct? 12 That is correct. Α. 13 Why does her SF-50 say "AD-28"? 14 I do not have that notes field and I do not have Α. 15 an answer for that. It may well have carried across as 16 we were processing two actions at the same time. 17 MS. STRICKLAND: Objection, speculation. THE COURT: I'm going to let that stand. 18 19 Anything else, Ms. Young? 20 MS. YOUNG: Oh, I do apologize, your Honor. THE COURT: Proceed. 21 22 Q. Well what did you tell Mr. Martinez about what AD 23 level Ms. Strickland should receive upon conversion? 24 Α. I calculated it as an AD-23.

And what did he say in response?

- A. He left that calculation up to me.
- 2 Q. And what did Mr. Martinez say about applying the
- Red-Circle rate to keep Ms. Strickland's pay the same?
- 4 A. He wanted to make certain that there was no
- 5 lowering in pay.

- 6 Q. How if at all did Mr. Martin's pay change when he
- 7 | was converted to an AFPD?
- 8 A. It did not change either.
- 9 Q. Were both R&Ws paid the same because of that
- 10 continuing resolution?
- 11 A. They had different pays, but they kept the same
- 12 pay through the conversion process.
- 13 Q. And is that because of the Continuing Resolution?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- 15 Q. And going back to that time, who was responsible
- 16 for notifying Mr. Martinez of when someone was eligible
- 17 for promotion?
- 18 A. That was my responsibility.
- 19 Q. And what did you tell Mr. Martinez, if anything,
- 20 about Ms. Strickland's eligibility for promotion at the
- 21 end of August 2018?
- 22 A. Well once a conversion is created, there is a
- 23 | 12-month period before we can do a pay change.
- 24 Q. Are you referring to the time it takes to move
- 25 between AD levels potentially?

- A. Well the AD level is really irrelevant to the pay setting, those are two separate acts. An AD level is a measure of time. Promotion and change in pay can occur
- 4 once every 12 months.
- Q. Understood. And when would you normally look at the AD level again after, um, a promotion on the AD scale?
- A. After the 12-month period, when someone was eligible for a pay change, we would go back through it and rereview everything.
- 11 Q. How would the 12-month rule apply here?
- A. As of 8-20-2018, or 12 months, she would remain at that location or that pay grade short of COLA changes.
- 14 There was, subsequent to that, a COLA change.
- Q. So when would Ms. Strickland have been eligible for a potential AD promotion after her conversion to
- 17 AFPD?
- 18 A. It would be late August of 2018.
- Q. Thank you. At the time of the conversion, why didn't Ms. Strickland's anniversary date or potential
- 21 promotion to GS-15 come up?
- A. It wasn't relevant to make a conversion and, um, it was my understanding that the conversion took
- 24 precedence on everything.
- 25 Q. Did you inform Mr. Martinez that Ms. Strickland's

```
anniversary date was coming up or that she was eliqible
1
     for GS-15 at that time?
 2
           Um, no, and there is -- while eligible for GS-15,
     um, the most likely process would be a 14, Step 3, not a
 4
 5
     15.
           Thank you. Turning back to the AFPD conversions,
 6
     Q.
     what are the salary benefits to being an AFPD?
8
           Your pay can be increased much more quickly as an
     Α.
9
     AFD than it can, through the grading step process, and
10
     it also is a significantly higher maximum pay.
11
           Are there any grade or step increases on the AFPD
12
     salary charts?
           They're not grade and step, they are AD levels,
13
14
     which is a measure of time, and then there is a pay
15
     chart that determines what an AD level could earn as a,
16
     um, pay band. So a low number and then a high number.
17
           What role, if any, did Mr. Davis have on
     Ms. Strickland's AFPD's conversion?
18
19
           None.
     Α.
20
           And what role, if any, did Mr. Davis have in any
21
     decision regarding her front pay?
22
     Α.
           None.
23
           MS. YOUNG: Mr. Spears, can I please have FC.
24
            (On screen.)
25
           Are you familiar with this document?
```

Q.

```
Yes, I am.
     Α.
 1
           What is it?
 2
     Q.
            It is a compilation of a timeline that I put
     Α.
     together for Mr. Martinez because he wanted
 4
 5
     clarification of all actions affecting Ms. Strickland.
           And what are the dates?
 6
     Q.
     Α.
            The request was February 11th, 2019.
8
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, the defendants move to
     admit FC into evidence.
 9
10
            THE COURT: No objection?
11
           MS. STRICKLAND: No objection.
12
           THE COURT: It may be admitted, 184.
           Go ahead.
13
14
           THE CLERK:
                        Judge, 185.
15
           THE COURT:
                        185.
            (Exhibit 185, marked.)
16
17
     Q.
           What did you tell Mr. Martinez in this e-mail?
            I went through the timeline of events and what
18
19
     changes in pay and changes in reclassifications had
20
     occurred, um, with Ms. Strickland.
21
     Ο.
            Thank you. And what was your understanding of how
22
     Mr. -- sorry.
23
            What was your understanding of Mr. Martinez's
24
     concerns, if any, about Ms. Strickland's pay?
25
            He wanted to make sure that it had been done by
     Α.
```

```
the book.
1
           To wrap up, did you ever take any action against
 2
 3
     Ms. Strickland because of her gender?
     Α.
         No.
 4
 5
         Did anyone ever instruct you to reduce
 6
     Ms. Strickland's pay?
 7
     Α.
           No.
8
           What was your understanding of how Mr. Martinez
     wanted Ms. Strickland's pay handled?
9
10
           By the book. He wanted to make sure that there
11
     were no questions or concerns about her pay.
12
     Q. Okay.
13
           MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, defendants pass the
14
     witness.
15
           THE COURT: Do you have any questions for this
16
     witness, Ms. Strickland?
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: Yes, your Honor.
18
19
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STRICKLAND:
20
     Q. Mr. Moormann, you were the Administrative Officer
21
     at the FDO at the time of the events alleged in my
     complaint, is that correct?
22
23
     Α.
        Yes.
           And as Administrative Officer, was it part of your
24
25
     duties to serve as a contact for Human Resources and
```

- personnel issues? 1 2 Α. Yes. Did you maintain all personnel documents and documents relating to employment as part of your duties? 4 5 Α. Yes, I did. 6 As part of your duties, are you required to be familiar with the policies in the Defender Organization 8 Classification System manual, otherwise known as the "DOCS manual"? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is it your understanding that the policies in the 12 DOCS manual govern Federal Defender Organizations 13 nationwide? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Is it your understanding that I was hired in March of 2017? 16 17 Α. Yes. And I believe you testified earlier that I was 18 19 hired at a grade level 14, step 1, is that correct? 20 Α. That is correct. 21 Ο. And was I hired with the expectation that I would 22 transition to an Assistant Federal Defender position?
  - Q. If that's what the offer letter states, do you

I have no directions for that one way or the

23

24

25

Α.

other.

```
have any reason to doubt that?
 1
 2
     Α.
           No.
           Is it your understanding that Research and Writing
     attorneys do not sign pleadings or have court
 4
 5
     appearances?
           That depends on the court.
 6
           Is it your understanding that a Research and
     Q.
8
     Writing position is not intended to serve as a proxy for
     an Assistant Federal Defender position?
 9
10
           MS. YOUNG: Objection, outside the scope.
11
           THE COURT: No, overruled, if he's competent to
12
     answer.
13
           Could you repeat the question?
     Α.
14
     Q.
           Yes.
15
           Is it your understanding that a Research and
16
     Writing position is not intended to serve as a proxy for
17
     an Assistant Federal Defender position?
           They are in support of AFD positions and do much
18
19
     of the same work, but are not the same job.
20
           Is it your understanding that a Research and
21
     Writing attorney is not supposed to be working under an
     Assistant Federal Defender title?
22
```

Is it your understanding that -- well let me put

I'm sorry, say that again?

23

24

25

Α.

it a different way.

```
Is it your understanding that an Assistant Federal
1
     Defender should be doing Assistant Federal Defender
 2
     duties and should not be doing research and writing
     duties?
 4
 5
           The Research and Writing, which typically was
     appellate-oriented work, can be done by an AFD or a
 6
     Research and Writing attorney.
8
            Do you believe that that understanding is
     Ο.
     consistent with the DOCS manual?
 9
10
     Α.
           Yes.
11
           So -- okay. Are Research and Writing attorneys
     Ο.
12
     paid on the GS pay scale set by Congress for federal
13
     employees?
14
           Yes, they are, rate and step.
     Α.
15
           And that pay scale is standardized, right?
     Q.
16
     Α.
           Yes.
17
           You testified today about a Continuing Resolution,
     is that right?
18
19
     Α.
           Yes.
20
            Did you file a sworn declaration in this
21
     proceeding?
22
           Yes.
     Α.
23
            Did you state, in your sworn declaration, that a
     Q.
24
     promotion to a Grade 15 on the GS pay scale requires 10
```

years of federal government service?

```
It certainly can.
 1
     Α.
            I'm asking you. Did you state, in your sworn
 2
 3
     declaration, that a promotion to Grade 15 requires 10
     years of federal government service?
 4
 5
            I don't have my declaration in front of me, but
 6
     that is --
     Q.
           Would it refresh your recollection to review that?
8
        Yes, please.
     Α.
 9
            MS. STRICKLAND: Let's bring up the declaration.
10
            (On screen.)
11
           But let me ask you first. Are you familiar with
     Q.
12
     this document?
13
           Yes.
     Α.
14
            Is this your sworn declaration?
     Q.
15
            Yes.
     Α.
16
            And you filed this -- or you signed this for the
     Q.
17
     purpose of this proceeding, correct?
18
     Α.
           Yes.
19
           All right.
     Q.
20
           MS. STRICKLAND: So go to paragraph --
21
            (Pause.)
22
     Q.
            Would you please read to yourself Paragraph 16.
23
            (Reads.)
     Α.
24
            Does that refresh your recollection on where you
25
     stated that a promotion to Grade 15 requires 10 years of
```

1 federal government service? 2 Α. Yes. Is this statement accurate? There are ways to do that otherwise, but 4 (Pause.) 5 that is the normal course of business. So it is your testimony today that you believe 6 that 10 years of experience in the federal GS system is 8 required to be eligible for a promotion to Grade 15? 9 MS. YOUNG: Objection, misstates prior testimony. 10 It does, that's not what he just said. THE COURT: 11 MS. STRICKLAND: I'm sorry, your Honor, I'm just 12 trying to clarify. 13 You stated in your declaration --Q. 14 THE COURT: You can't clarify by misstating what 15 he said. The question is improper. 16 MS. STRICKLAND: Fair enough, your Honor. 17 So your declaration states that 10 years of Q. experience in the federal GS system is required to be 18 19 eligible for promotion to GS 15, is that right? That is the -- that is in the document. 20 Α. 21 Q. Is that your testimony today? It is the normal course of business. Α. 22 23 And did you state, um -- did you also state Q. Okay. 24 that I was not eligible for a promotion to Grade 15 25 because I had slightly less than 4 years federal

- government employment, about 9 months of which was with the FDO WDNC?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is that your testimony today?
- 5 A. (Pause.) I have no reason to change it.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear, can you please repeat
  7 that?
  - THE COURT: "I have to reason to change it," he said.
- 10 Q. Okay, thank you. Thank you.
- Mr. Moormann, have you heard of the -- are you aware of the judiciary's highest previous rate policy?
- 13 A. Yes.

9

- Q. Does that policy state that "A Line AFD's starting salary may be set higher than the maximum of the applicable starting salary range if necessary to match the salary the AFD previously earned as an employee of
- any federal government entity or any FDO"?
- 19 A. Yes, that occurred in your case.
- 20 Q. Okay, thank you.
- Is your statement that I was not eligible for a promotion to Grade 15 accurate?
- A. A promotion to Grade 15 is not an automatic, it is not like a step increase.
  - Q. I'm asking whether your statement that I was not

```
eligible for a promotion, whether that statement is
 1
 2
     accurate?
           (Pause.) You may actually be eligible, but it
     Α.
     would not be within the standard course of business.
 4
 5
     0.
           Is there a difference between being eligible and
     the standard course of business?
 6
     Α.
           There are various ways a Defender can determine
8
     workforce and workforce management, um, that is -- and
     in our offices too, um, so we didn't perform, um, jumps
 9
10
     of that nature.
11
           I'm just trying to understand. Is your statement
12
     that I was not eligible for a promotion pursuant to the
     policies of the DOCS manual, is that statement accurate?
13
14
           MS. YOUNG: Objection, asked and answered.
15
           THE COURT: That has been asked and answered,
     Ms. Strickland. Sustained.
16
17
           MS. STRICKLAND: I'll move on.
           Let's pull up Trial Exhibit, I believe it's 138.
18
19
     (On screen.) And go down to the Research and Writing.
20
           (Scrolls.)
21
     Q.
           Are you familiar with this document?
           It appears to be a job description from the DOCS
22
23
     manual.
24
     Q. Okay.
25
           MS. STRICKLAND: Let's go down to the -- yes,
```

```
okay.
1
            (Scrolls.)
 2
 3
           Okay. Is this chart labeled "Salary and
     Ο.
     Experience Scale"?
 4
           Yes.
 5
     Α.
           Does this chart state that Grade 14 requires 9
 6
     years of total experience?
8
     Α.
           Yes.
           Does the chart state that Grade 15 requires 10
9
10
     years of total experience?
11
     Α.
           Yes.
12
           Is it your position that an attorney at Grade 14
13
     must max out all steps at Grade 14 before advancing to
     Grade 15?
14
15
           They are not required to.
16
           Did you state, in your sworn declaration, that it
     Ο.
17
     takes an attorney, starting as a GS-14, Step 1, 20 years
     to reach the maximum pay level under the GS pay scale?
18
19
            It certainly can.
20
           I'm asking does it take -- actually -- no, I'm
21
     sorry.
            I'm asking you whether it takes -- did you state
22
23
     in your sworn declaration that it takes an attorney,
     starting as a GS-14, Step 1, 20 years to reach the
24
25
     maximum pay amount under the GS pay scale, did you state
```

```
that?
1
            Without an extraordinary act, yes.
 2
     Α.
           Do you believe that that statement is accurate?
     Q.
           Again without an extraordinary act, yes.
 4
     Α.
 5
           Do you believe that a grade level promotion is an
     Q.
 6
     extraordinary act?
 7
     Α.
            From a 14-1 to a 15-1 is an extraordinary act.
8
            (Pause.)
 9
           MS. STRICKLAND: Give me just a moment, please,
10
     I'm trying to skip over material that we already
11
     covered.
12
            (Pause.)
13
     Q.
           Mr. Moormann, did you testify that you meant to
14
     set my pay at an AD-23?
15
            I set your system as an AD-23, which I've since
16
     then corrected.
17
            I'm sorry, the AD-23 was an error?
           Yes.
18
     Α.
19
           And your testimony was that it should have been --
20
     what grade should it have been?
21
     Α.
           The next step is AD-25.
22
           Okay. Did you state, in a Request for Personnel
23
     Action, on August 16th, 2018, quote, "It should be set
     at AD Level 28." "To be set at set at AD-28"?
24
25
            If you're referring to the note section on the
     Α.
```

request action, that was in the note section.

Q. Okay, thank you.

MS. STRICKLAND: And let's pull up, I believe it was --

THE COURT: Well let's stop there, it's 1:00.

The government, with this extension of time, has another 25 minutes to call whatever witnesses they want to call. The plaintiff has used up 1 day, 1 hour, and 55 minutes. So as ample time, the defense has used up 2 days, 1 hour, and 20 minutes. So has another 25 minutes.

Since I expect that if the government calls another witness, Ms. Strickland will wish to crossexamine that witness, we're not, in fairness, going to have time for final argument tomorrow without, I think, unduly pressing counsel, who have been right up to the mark, each day you've been prompt. I apologize for the delay today, that was entirely my responsibility.

So what I propose is that we will finish testimony tomorrow, we will agree upon a day for final argument early in the new year, and that will give me the opportunity, which I have not completed, of reading all -- going through all the exhibits and reading all the depositions and making determinations as to the designations which are in dispute.

```
So we'll recess now and tomorrow we'll agree upon
1
 2
     the day for final argument and we'll wind up the
     testimony in this case tomorrow. We'll stand adjourned
 3
     until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.
 4
 5
            We'll recess.
            (Adjourned, 1:00 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, RICHARD H. ROMANOW, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER,
5	do hereby certify that the foregoing record is a true
6	and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes
7	before Judge William G. Young, on Monday, December 18,
8	2023, to the best of my skill and ability.
9	
10	
11	
12	/s/ Richard H. Romanow 03-11-24
13	RICHARD H. ROMANOW Date
14	RICHARD H. ROMANOW Date
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	